Journal of Biological and Chemical Research

An International Journal of Life Sciences and Chemistry
EISSN 2319-3077 (Online) | ISSN 0970-4973 (Print)
www.jbcr.co.in | jbiolchemres@gmail.com

Journal Impact Factor: 4.275, | Global Impact Factor: 0.876, | InfoBase Impact Factor: 3.66
Scientific Journal Impact Factor: 3.285, | IC Value: 82.43

Scope

Life Sciences (Botany and Zoology), Medical Sciences, Chemical Sciences, Agricultural Sciences, Biochemical Sciences, Environmental Sciences, Biotechnology, Molecular Biology and Tissue Culture

Volume 43 (1), January-June, 2026

Indexed, Abstracted and Cited

Index Copernicus International (Europe), Research Bible (Japan), Scientific Journals Impact Factor Master List, Directory of Research Journals Indexing (DRJI), Indian Science. In, Database Electronic Journals Library (Germany), Open J-Gate, J Gate e-Journal Portal, Info Base Index, International Impact Factor Services (IIFS) (Singapore), Scientific Indexing Services (USA), International Institute of Organized Research (I2OR), World Cat, International Innovative Journal Impact Factor, Swedish Scientific Publishing, Eye Source and cifactor.org Journals Indexing Directory, Cosmos Science Foundation South-East Asia, Indexing of International Research Journals and ImpactFactor.pl - Kompendium wiedzy o czasopismach naukowych, Philadelphia.

J. Biol. Chem. Research. Vol. 43, No. 1, 33-73, 2026
Ms 43/1/19/2026


S.N.H. Zaidi & Saira Bano

REVIEW ARTICLE
Received: 02/02/2026 Revised: 30/03/2026 Accepted: 31/03/2026

Entomopathogenic Fungi and Their Contribution to Sustainable Pest Management

S. Nazeer Haider Zaidi and *Saira Bano
Department of Botany, Shia P.G. College, Lucknow, U.P., India
***Department of Physiology, Bharti Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be University), Medical College, Pune, Maharashtra, India**

ABSTRACT

Entomopathogenic fungi (EPF) have gained popularity recently due to their potential use in biological control as promising organisms capable of reducing a wide spectrum of insect pests without causing harm to the environment or human health. This review focuses on the diversity, classification, geographic distribution, ecology, modes of action, and use of EPF in integrated pest management (IPM). The following genera of EPF will be analyzed in detail: *Beauveria, Metarhizium, Cordyceps, Verticillium*, and *Paecilomyces*. The infection process, consisting of the stages of attachment of spores to the insects' surface, penetration of the insects' cuticle via enzymatic decomposition, colonization of hosts, toxin production, and insect death will be considered. The review will also cover the use of EPF in four biological control techniques. Environmental conditions, agricultural activities, soil properties, and interaction with host plants have been found to greatly affect the survival rate and effectiveness of these fungi in agro-ecosystems. Developments in fungal taxonomy, molecular characterization, and formulation have additionally increased the potential of these fungi as environmentally friendly biopesticides. Even though there are many benefits of using entomopathogenic fungi as biopesticides, such as specificity, lack of pesticide residues, and suitability for use in IPM strategies, certain drawbacks like inconsistent results under field conditions, sensitivity to environmental conditions, short shelf life, and slow rate of action hinder their use. It is therefore important that further research be carried out in order to improve their effectiveness.

Keywords: Entomopathogenic fungi, Biological control, Integrated pest management, Sustainable agriculture and Microbial biopesticides

INTRODUCTION

Of the nearly one million known species of insects, about 15,000 species are considered pests and about 300 require some form of control. Fortunately, most insect pests have pathogenic microorganisms associated with them.

There are two aspects of economic problems caused by insects. One concerns the loss of production that results from damage to crops and to the health of human and domestic animals, the other concerns the cost of attempt to prevent or control such production loses. At the same time with increasing agriculture, insects become more and more important competitors of human food damaging or even destroyingthe crops. Mosquitoes and black flies are a constant threat to health and comfort, yet the chemical pesticides used to control them have created serious ecological problems. Environmental and health concerns about the application of chemical insecticides to reduce large-scale insect pest infestations have led to renewed interest in the development of microbial agents for incorporation into integrated pest management strategies for the control of acridids.

Entomopathogens have been suggested as controlling agents of insect pests for over a century, and belong to species of fungi, viruses, bacteria, and protozoa. Naturally occurring entomopathogens are important regulatory factors in insect populations (Roy and Cottrell 2008). There has been an increasing interest in employing fungal pathogens to combat insect pests. Newapplication and production combined with a greater understanding of both fungal and insect ecology have shown that biological insecticides can now compete traditional chemical pesticides much faster. Many species are employed as biological control agents of insect pests in row and glasshouse crops, orchards, ornamentals, range, turf and lawn, stored products, and forestry and for abatement of pest and vector insects of veterinary and medical importance.

The use of agrochemicals, although decreasing the attack of insects and phytopathogenic microorganisms, still represents a high risk to field workers and consumers. In addition, their use is, in certain cases, economically unviable. The control of pests and diseases by means of biological processes i.e., use of entomopathogenic microorganisms or those that inhibit/antagonise other microorganisms pathogenic to plants, is an alternative that may contribute to reduce or eliminate the use of chemical products in agriculture. Generally, the comparison of entomopathogens with conventional chemical pesticides is usually solely from the perspective of their efficacy and cost. In addition to efficacy, the advantages of use of microbial control agents are numerous. These include safety for humans and other nontarget organisms, reduction of pesticide residues in food, preservation of other natural enemies, and increased biodiversity in managed ecosystems.

Thomas and Read (2007) stated that recent research has raised the prospect of using insect fungal pathogens for the control of vector-borne diseases such as malaria. In the past, microbial control of insect pests in both medical and agricultural sectors has generally had limited success. Over the last 25 years, chemical pesticides have become less attractive for numerous reasons including increased cost, the development of pesticide-resistant insects and weeds, concerns raised about human health hazards, and deleterious effects upon non-target organisms. During this time there has been a renewed interest in the application of biological control measures to replace or reduce chemical pesticide use. Much of this interest has been focused upon the development and use of microbial biocontrol agents. Humans have been aware of insect fungal diseases for at least 2000 years, since the ancient Chinese used fungus-infected insects in religious ceremonies and for medicinal purposes (reviewed by Carruthers and Hural, 1990). Fungi were the first microorganisms shown to cause disease in insects (Tañada and Kaya, 1993).

Insects are known since long ages to become infected with different entomopathogenic microorganisms that form an important factor of the natural mortality. The first successful large scale microbial control application using conidiospores of the fungus *Metarhizium anisopliae* was carried out in the Russian Ukraine against the beet weevil, *Bothynoderes punctiventris,* needed amounts of pure conidiospores were produced in the laboratory for this purpose. In the last 50 years, microbial control of pests and plant diseases showed an amazing development associated with pronounced good results under optimized laboratory conditions, followed many times by disappointing results in the field applications. It was stated that "it is thus surprising that, while research directed to these major targets with a number of common goals, very little attention has previously been given to the integration of research effort.

The Russian scientist Metschnikoff must be credited with the first practical use of a fungal agent to control an insect pest, in 1879 (Glare and Milner, 1991; Samson et al., 1988). He employed the green muscardine fungus, *Metarhizium anisopliae,* against the wheat cockchafer, *Anisoplia austriaca.* Although the practical use of these and other entomopathogens has been investigated for well over 100 years, their full potential is only beginning to be realized (Moore and Prior, 1993). Numerous laboratory and field studies indicate that entomopathogenic fungi (EPF) can provide a safe and effective control of many important insect pests (Lazreg *et al*., 2007; Gabarty *et al*., 2014; Hamdy, 2015).

There are thought to be about 750 species of fungi that cause infections in insects or mites. As a group, they attack a wide range of insect and mite species, but individual species and strains of fungus are very specific. The fungi produce spores which infect their host by germinating on its surface and then growing into its body. Death takes between 4 and 10 days, depending on the type of fungus and the number of infecting spores. After death, the fungus produces thousands of new spores on the dead body, which disperse and continue their life cycle on new hosts.

Biological control of insects

Nowadays biological control as a practical science is much appreciated and as a solvent for long term usage of chemical pesticides problem is completely notified. Biological control is regarded as a desirable technique for controlling insects, due to its minimal environmental impact and preventing the development of resistance in vectors. Biological control (or biocontrol, which is synonymous) has been defined a number of times. A recent definition by Eilenberg *et al*. (2001) is:

'The use of living organisms to suppress the population density or impact of a specific pest organism, making it less abundant or less damaging than it would otherwise be'.

Biological control can be divided into four complementary strategies: classical, inoculation, inundation and conservation. The strategies are defined (based on Eilenberg *et al.* (2001) and Eilenberg (2005) and briefly described below. It should be mentioned that some authors have preferred to write the prefixes as adjectives for the latter three strategies, thus naming the strategies: classical, inoculative, inundative and conservative (Hajek, 2004).

1- Classical biological control

'The intentional introduction of an exotic, usually co-evolved, biological control agent for permanent establishment and long-term pest control'.Classical biological control has been a very significant strategy within biological control since the striking success with the introduction of the 'Vedalia beetle' to control scale insects in California in the late 1880's.

The early successes were the reason for the term 'classical', which cannot be understood without this historical dimension.

The strategy was (and still is) among the most successful to manage introduced pest species in North America and other parts of the world, while it has never been a significant element in biological control in Europe. This is due to two reasons: first, the major bulk of European pests are native and their natural enemies are already present, and secondly, classical biological control needs a strong, regional co-ordination of the efforts, which has normally not been the case in Europe.

2- Inoculation biological control

The intentional release of a living organism as a biological control agent with the expectation that it will multiply and control the pest for an extended period, but not permanently. The main principle of inoculation biological control is that a pest population increases in size but in due course, before this population density has reached the potential maximum, a biocontrol agent is inoculated in small to moderate amounts. The goal is that the natural enemy increases in population size and thus controls the pest over a period of time. The inoculated biocontrol organisms will, however, not establish permanently at a sufficiently high population density to prevent damage. New inoculations must be carried out after a period of time. It can be postulated that inoculation biological control represents a reestablishment of a natural balance, temporarily distorted by man. Soil for cropping is inoculated with other additives to enhance growth (mycorrhiza for example) and inoculation with a biocontrol agent can be seen as a moderate help to speed up a natural process.

3- Inundation biological control

The use of fungal biological control agents that have been formulated for inundativeapplication has been extensively studied but only a few products are commercially available (Liu and Li 2004). 'Using of living organisms to control the pests when control is achieved exclusively by the released organisms themselves' .The principle is as follows: a pest population increases in size, but at a certain time a biocontrol organism is applied in large amounts ('inundated'). The pest is quickly controlled and the population density of both the pest and the biocontrol agent decrease over time. The pest population will, after a period of time, increase again and a new application of a biocontrol agent is needed. The term 'biopesticide' is often associated with inundation biological control, linking the concept rather closely to the use of chemical pesticides.

Inundation can be hard to separate from inoculation and these two strategies are often together called 'augmentation'. Inundation is probably the strategy for biological control, which most easily can be explained to everybody: the population density of a nasty pest is too high, and a biocontrol agent is applied to control the pest.

4 - Conservation biological control

'Modification of the environment or existing practices to protect and enhance specific enemies or other organisms to reduce the effect of pests'

A pest species occurs at high population levels due to insufficient effects of the natural enemies. Natural enemies include all kinds of biological regulation: macro-and microorganisms controlling invertebrates, weeds and plant diseases, including the antagonistic microorganisms responsible for 'suppressive soils'. To perform conservation biocontrol, the environment is modified or the practice is changed in order to enhance the natural enemies, which are already present.

They increase in population size and their effect results in a lower pest population. Among the four biological control strategies, conservation biological control can be seen as the one most tightly connected to the main principles of organic farming, which has protection of the existing natural enemies as one of its main principles.

Safety of Fungi

Entomopathogenic fungi can serve as alternatives to broad-spectrum chemical insecticides.Efficacy and cost are usually the sole perspectives when comparing microbial control agents with conventional chemical pesticides.

Numerous advantages can be found in the utilisation of entomopathogens in addition to efficacy. Advantages consist in safety for humans and other non-target organisms, reduction of pesticide residues in food, preservation of other natural enemies and increased biodiversity in managed ecosystems. However, many factors still limit the acceptance of entomopathogens by growers and general public. In order to increase their utilisation, research needs to concentrate on: (a) pathogen virulence and speed of kill, (b) pathogen performance under challenging environmental conditions (cool weather, dry conditions etc.), (c) efficiency in the production process, (d) formulations that enable ease of application, increased environmental persistence and longer shelf-life, (e) integration into managed ecosystems and interaction with the environment and other integrated pest management (IPM) components (Lacey et al., 2001).

Recently the safety of entomopathogenic fungi was curently studied (El-Husseini 2006a) Most of the entomopathogenic fungi developed for commercial use in microbial control of insect pests showed no infectivity to man or other vertebrates (Podgwaite, 1986). Generally, particular attention is paid to the following aspects by registration of the bioproducts based on microbes: 1) allergic properties, 2) risks of toxic metabolites, 3) genetic recombination and displacement of natural strains, and 4) effect on biodiversity, *i.e.,* on nontarget organisms Safety tests with *Nomuraea rileyi*, *Hirsutella thompsonii* (McCoy, and Heimpel. 1980), *Verticillium lecnii* (Podgwaite, 1986) and *Lagenidium giganteum* (Kervin et al. 1990) assured negative findings to different mammalians and birds (Kervin, . 1992). On the other hand, *Beauveria bassiana* has been reported to cause allergies in humans and is at least an opportunistic pathogen to man and other mammals. Concerning non-target invertebrates, high mortality appear when they contacted or ingested spores of the entomopathogenic fungi.

Larvae of the coccinellid *Cryptolaemus montrouzieri* suffered 50% mortality when fed Boverin-t, a commercial conidiospore preparation of *B. bassiana* (Flexner *et al*. 1986).

Honey bee workers experienced 29% mortality when fed spores of *H. thompsonii.* Both *B.bassiana* and *Metarhizium anisopliae* infect *B.mori,* and also killed honey bees following field applications (Podgwaite, 1986). Besides, the parasitized hosts of some species showed increased susceptibility to entomopathogenic fungi and those populations of some over wintering predacious carabid beetles and other invertebrates are killed by fungi showing an increased risk if large amounts of fungal inoculums are added to soils as a consequence of agricultural microbial pest control (Flexner *et al*. 1986). Infection of different beneficial natural enemies were recorded (El-Husseini *et al* 2004; El-Husseini 2006 b; Boopathi et al., 2015), *e.g.,* adults of the braconid parasitoid *Apanteles* sp., the coccinellid predator *Cydonia vicina isis,* the earwig *Labidura riparia,* and the syrphid fly *Syrphus corollae* in fields of sugar beet treated with conidiospores of both *B. bassiana* and *M. anisopliae.*



Figure 1. Interaction involved in efficacy of fungal pathogens in the field

Under natural conditions, fungi are a frequent and often important natural mortality factor in insect populations. All groups of insects may be affected and over 700 species of fungi have been recorded as pathogens. Some species are facultative generalist pathogens, such as *Aspergillus* and *Fusarium*. However, most species are obligate pathogens, often quite specific and rarely found, e.g. many species of *Cordyceps*. Entomophthoran fungi are often important in natural control of flies and aphids under warm humid conditions but attempts to use these fungi as biological insecticides have usually failed because they are too difficult to mass produce and are often ineffective under conditions of moderate humidity common in the field. Research has focussed on the relatively easily produced asexual spores (conidia) of the hyphomycete genera *Metarhizium*, *Beauveria*, *Verticillium* and *Paecilomyces*.

These fungi often have a wide host range although there is considerable genetic diversity within species and some clades show a high degree of specificity (Driver *et al.*, 2000).

For example, *Metarhizium anisopliae* var. *acridum* Driver & Milner is only effective against acridid insects (grasshoppers and locusts). Unlike other potential biocontrol agents, fungi do not have to be ingested to infect their hosts but invade directly through the cuticle, and so can, potentially, be used for control of all insects including sucking insects.

4- Survey and taxonomy of entomopathogenic fungi

Entomopathogenic fungi **have** been found in many diverse habitats and associated with a broad range of insect hosts (Samson et al., 1988). These habitats include aquatic, forest and agricultural ecosystems that are of direct importance to insect-vector control, silviculture and crop protection (Bin and Mitsuaki 2006; Jonathan et al., 2014) . Other habitats where EPF have been observed include weeds, river banks, stream beds and even caves. The identification, isolation and characterization of EPF from these ecosystems *is* driven by a need to fully understand the roles of these fungi within their natural environments. Only with this knowledge can we attempt to utilize EPF for the control of insect pests.

Entornogenous fungi belong to 12 classes within 6 phyla of the kingdom fungi. Fungi and fungus-like organisms are defined by their heterotrophic, absorptive mode of nutrition and their apical hyphal growth (Deacon, 1997). The classification of fungi has traditionally depended upon morphology (e.g., conidiogenesis) and ultrastructure (e.g., cell wall and septum structure) as primary criteria. The more precise placement of EPF into defined taxonomic groups has been achieved by comparing metabolisms (e.g., nutrient utilization, enzyme and toxin production) and using genetic analyses (e.g., rRNA sequences, karyotyping, mtDNA restriction length polymorphism; Khachatourians, 1991, 1996).

True fungi are now placed in four proper phyla (Ascomycota, Basidiomycota, Chytridiomycota, Zygomycota) and one artificial 'form' phylum, Deuteromycota, that contains filamentous fungi that exist in asexual forms (anamorph). It is thought that deuteroraycetes are actually ascomycetes or basidiomycetes that have either lost their potential for sexual reproduction or have undescribed sexual forms (teleomorph; Alexopoulos et al., 1996). Moreover, teleomorphs for numerous entomopathogenic deuteromycete genera have subsequently been identified, and some of these teleomorphs can also be insect pathogens (e.g., *Torrubiella* and *Cordyceps* spp. are teleomorphs of *Paecilomyces* spp.; Samson et al., 1988). The oomycetes, which once resided in the subdivision Mastigomycotina, have now been placed in the kingdom Stramenopila, phylum Oomycota (Barr, 1992). Members of this new kingdom include brown algae, diatoms and labyrinthulids, and all share various features of monophyletic origin, including a similar flagellum hair structure (Patterson, 1989). The plasmodial slime molds, which are not known to be entomopathogens, have now been assigned to the phylum Myxomycota within the kingdom Protista along with the cellular slime molds (Patterson and Sogin, 1992). The phylogenetic relationships among the four true fungal phyla have been proposed, based originally on morphological and ultrastructural features, and largely confirmed by subsequent DNA sequence analyses (reviewed by Alexopoulos et al., 1996). True fungi are now thought to be more closely related to animals than plants and it is thought that fungi and animals share a choanoflagellate-like ancestor (Wainwright et al., 1993). Chytridiomycetes may be considered the most 'primitive' fungi since the ancestor of this group diverged early and retained centrioles and flagella (see Cavalier- Smith, 1987, Barr, 1992).

The zygomycetes diverged after the loss of flagella and before the appearance of a regularly septate mycelium and dikaryotic stage which are characteristic of the ascomycetes and basidiomycetes (i.e., the 'higher' fungi). After the ancestors of ascomycetes and basidiomycetes diverged, the ascomycetes' ancestor developed ascospores (i.e., meiospores usually produced eight per ascus) while the basidiomycetes' ancestor developed basidiospores (meiospores usually produced four
per basidium), barrel-shaped septa (dolipores) and clamp connections.

On the other hand fungal systematics, particularlyat the higher levels of classification, is continually being reviewed and restructured, Hibbett et al. (2007) propose a system of classification for all groups of fungi based on recent molecular phylogenetic studies. There are over 700 species of insect pathogenicfungi and these can be found within two main groups: phylum Ascomycota (subkingdom Dikarya) and the order Entomophthorales from the subphylum Entomophthoromycotina. The Entomophthorales, obligate pathogens of arthropods, are an extremely important group of insect pathogens
historically placed within the Zygomycota.

However, the Zygomycota is not an accepted phylum within the revised classification (Hibbett et al., 2007). Therefore, the Entomophthorales have not been assigned a phylum in the current classification but will be pending resolution of clades from the Zygomycota (Hibbett et al.,2007). The taxonomy of the Ascomycota is more clearly defined and contains two major orders: Hypocreales (class: Sordariomycetes; subclass: Hypocreomycetidae) and Laboulbeniales (class: Laboulbeniomycetes). It is worth noting that the ascomycete fungi were previously divided into two groups: Ascomycota and the Deuteromycota.

The Deuteromycota were known as the Fungi Imperfecti (Deuteromycota: Hyphomycetes) and were species for which no sexual stage was known. However, morphological and molecular studies have demonstrated that some of these "imperfect fungi" are anamorphs (asexual forms) of the Ascomycota (order: Hypocreales; family: Clavicipitaceae). Throughout this paper we will refer to the classification proposed by Hibbett et al.(2007).

The majority of EPF identified to date belong to the following four classes:

Laboulbeniales and Pyrenomycetes (phylum Ascomycota), Hyphomycetes (form phylum Deuteromycota), and Zygomycetes (phylum Zygomycota) (Samson et al., 1988). Naturally, EPF research has been mainly focused upon members of these classes.

4.1 Phylum Chytridiomycota

Chytridiomycetes are characterized by their mode of sexual reproduction that involves the fusion of motile gametes and the production of asexual, uniflagellate

propagative spores (Deacon, 1997). These propagative spores are highly adapted for

movement within liquid media (Tañada and Kaya, 1993). Two orders, Chytridiales and Blastocladiales, possess EPF species that are important entornopathogens of aquatic insects (Table 2.2). Although fungi with motile zoospores are poorly represented in aerial and epigeal habitats, an exception is *Myiophagus ucrainicus* belonging to the class Chytridiales (Evans, 1989). This fungus is a pathogen of citrus pests, with particular affinity for armoured scale insects. After a heavy rainfall, spores are released onto thesurface of the drenched leaves where they can proceed to find a suitable host. One genus *Coelomomyces* (class Blastocladiales) contains most of the EPF belonging to the phylum Chytridiomycota (Glare and Milner, 1991).

This genus contains approximately 70 species that are pathogenic to a variety of aquatic insects including mosquitoes, midges, backswimmers and black flies (see Table 2.2). *Coelomomyces stegomyiae* is of particular interest, since its hyphae penetrate the ovaries of adult *Aedes aegypti* and mature to produce sporangia in response to elevated ecdysterone titres that follow a blood meal (Lucarotti, 1992). An outstanding feature of *Coelomomyces* is that these fungi require an alternate host to complete their life cycle (i.e., heteroecism). The alternate hosts are other aquatic arthropods, usually copepods or ostracods, where the sexual (gametophytic) generation of the fungus is propagated. The asexual (saprophytic) generation is then expressed within the insect host

4.2 Phylum Oomycota

Members of the phylum Oomycota resemble fungi in behavior and lifestyle, but this phylum is considered part of the kingdom Stramenopila instead of the kingdom Fungi, since its members have cellulose-based walls and have plant-like biochemical features (Alexopoulos et al., 1996). The fungus-like organisms of this phylum reproduce sexually by forming thick-walled oospores from the fusion of sex organs (i.e., a 'male' antheridium with a 'female' oogonium), and asexually by the production of bifiagellate zoospores (Deacon, 1997).

The class Oomycetes has two orders containing EPF; Lagenidiales and Saprolegniales. Within the order Lagenidiales, most EPF species belong to the genus *Lagenidium.* These species are known worldwide as important pathogens of mosquito larvae (Glare and Milner, 1991; Tañada and Kaya, 1993).*Lagenidium giganteutn* can infect mosquito larvae through ingestion or by integument penetration. This fungus is quite tolerant of environmental extremes, being capable of overwintering in addition to surviving the drying and flooding of its habitat.
A *L.giganteum* based product, Laginex TM is now being sold as a biological larvicide for the control of many mosquito genera, including: *Aedes, Anopheles* and *Culex* (AgraQuest Inc., Davis, CA). Species within the order Saprolegniales have characteristic non-reproductive thalli that are vegetative and unsegmented (Tañada and Kaya, 1993). These Saprolegniales species are also pathogens of mosquito larvae, in addition to being important pathogens of midge eggs (Díptera, Chironomidae; see Table 2.2).

4.3 Phylum Zygomycota

Members of the phylum Zygomycota are characterized by their multinucleated, aseptate hyphae, and sexual reproductive mechanism that involves the fusion of sex
organs (gametangia) to form resilient thick-walled zygospores (Tañada and Kaya, 1993, Glare and Milner, 1991). Asexual reproduction involves the production of non-motile spores by cytoplasmic cleavage within sporangia (Deacon, 1997). Two classes contain EPF, Trichomycetes and Zygomycetes. Trichomycetes are not usually regarded as entomopathogens, though there is evidence that some members can infect aquatic insects such as mosquito larvae (Samson et al., 1988; Glare and Milner, 1991). The class Zygomycetes contains two orders with EPF, Mucorales and Entomophthorales. The fungi within the class Mucorales mostly appear as opportunistic pathogens that can only infect weakened insects. The order Entomophthorales has received extensive study since its members are important pathogens of both epigeal and soil-inhabiting insect pests (Table 2.3); approximately 200 entomogenous species have been identified (Glare and Milner, 1991).
Members of the Entomophthorales are characterized by a modified sporangium functioning as a singular conidium that is forcibly discharged upon maturity (Tañada and Kaya, 1993).
These conidia are known as ballistospores and have a sticky coating that facilitates substrate (e.g., host integument) adherence. The genus *Massospora* is unlike other genera of this order, since its members do not produce ballistospores. This genus is of interest since its members are restricted to individual species of cicadas that typically
Remain underground for many years (Soper et al., 1976). Since these fungi are only associated with cicadas whilst in the epigeal environment, their spores must be very resilient to remain viable over the many years of dormancy. Over 200 entomopthoralean species have been identified that infect hosts belonging to at least 7 insect orders (Tañada and Kaya, 1993). Some of these fungi have wide host ranges, while others are more selective and in some cases infect only a single host species. Many EPF members of this order are known to create dramatic epizootic events within susceptible insect populations. Grasshopper populations are very susceptible to epizootic outbreaks caused by *Entomophaga grylli* (reviewed by Goettel, 1992), while periodic crashes of green peach aphid population have been attributed to *Pandora neoaphidis* (Elkassabany et al., 1992). Additionally, *Entomophaga maimaiga* was found to be the causative agent of North American epizootics in populations of the gypsy moth *Lymantria dispar* in 1989 (Hajek et al., 1990). These epizootic eliciting fungi are currently being investigated as experimental control agents.

Entomophaga maimaiga promoted epizootic events in *Lymantria dispar* populations in the third year after its introduction in Michigan (Smitley et al., 1995). A potential drawback of entomophoralean fungi for insect biocontrol is that they, generally, can not be easily cultured and it is suspected that many members of this order are obligate pathogens, without the means to proliferate saprophytically.

4.4 Phylum Basidiomycota

The phylum Basidiomycota is comprised of fungi possessing dolipore septate hyphae, and some yeasts (Deacon, 1997). Sexual reproductive cells called basidia are formed by the fusion of compatible hyphae. On each basidium, basidiospores are produced, usually in groups of four, by nuclear fusion and meiosis (Tañada and Kaya, 1993). Asexual reproduction is rare, with only a few groups known to produce conidia (Deacon, 1997). Only two genera within the order Septobasidiales are thought to have entomogenous species. Both genera, *Septobasidium* and *Uredinella,* are obligate parasites/symbionts of scale insects (Evans, 1989). It has been very difficult to determine whether the fungi of the genus *Septobasidium* are actual pathogens. These fungi are always found together with scale insects on host trees and even though fungal hyphae do penetrate into the insect's hemocoel and absorb nutrients, there is no apparent insect immune response. In addition, the fungus provides a highly organized macrocolony where the scale insects are sheltered from the external environment and are protected from avian predators and hymenopteran parasitoids. However, fungi belonging to the genus *Uredinella* are regarded as parasites. Although these fungi do not kill the host insect, they can be regarded as mortality factors that negatively impact upon population dynamics since parasitized hosts are rendered infertile.

4.5 Phylum Ascomycota

The phylum Ascomycota is comprised of members that form septate haploid hyphae, and yeasts. Sexual reproduction occurs by the fusion of either modified hyphae or yeast-like cells leading to the formation of asci that produce ascospores, usually in groups of eight (Glare and Milner, 1991; Deacon, 1997).

A sexual reproduction is also quite common for ascomycetes. There are five classes that contain entomopathogens, but most species are found in the classes Laboulbeniales and Pyrenomycetes (Tañada and Kaya, 1993). The class Hemiascomycetes contains the yeast-like entomopathogens that are typically slow-acting and cause chronic infections, as is the case with the infection of the biting midge *(Dasyhelea obscura)* by *Monosporella unicuspidata.* Members of the class Plectomycetes have no unique characteristics although some of its members are responsible for chalkbrood disease in bee colonies. An example is *Ascophaera APIs* that preferentially infects drones through the body cavity or by feeding. The class Loculoascomycetes produces bitunicate asci that are released in specialized stomatic compartments (locules). This class has two entomopathogenic orders, Myriangiales and Pleosporales that are considered important pathogens of scale insects. A major class of the phylum Ascomycota is Pyrenomycetes. Members of this class possess distinguishing unitunicate cylindroid asci. All EPF species in this class belong to the order Sphaeriales, and most are contained within the *Cordyceps* genus that has over 250 species. Members of this genus are pathogens of a broad range of insects belonging to both exo- and endopterygote orders, and some are even pathogens of spiders. *Cordyceps* spp. is especially abundant in tropical forest ecosystems, where they are considered important insect pathogens (Samson et al, 1988).

They are also important pathogens of numerous soil dwelling insect pests (Table 2.3). Over 2000 years ago, *Cordyceps* infected insects were being used in Ancient China and Indonesia in religious ceremonies. Infected insect larvae were also thought to have medicinal properties and were used to treat ailments such as opium addiction and tuberculosis.

Even today *Cordyceps-mfecxcd* larvae are sold in Chinese markets (Kobayashi 1977, cited by Tañada and Kaya, 1993). The other major class of the phylum Ascomycota is Laboulbeniomycetes. This class is composed of minute, seemingly inconspicuous fungi that were originally thought to be external commensals of insects (Tañada and Kaya, 1993). There are an estimated 115 genera of this class (see Carruthers and Hural, 1990) and the relatively few entomopathogenic species identified to date have been found in a wide variety of habitats. These EPF are known to be pathogenic to members of at least 11 insect orders, although coleopteran insects appear to be the most common targets.

4.6 Form phylum Deuteromycota

Members of the form phylum Deuteromycotina are also collectively known as the Fungi Imperfecti since most are only known for their asexual conidial form, while

Accompanying sexual forms are absent or unknown (Deacon, 1997). It is believed that most of these fungi have lost their sexual potential, although some fungi (e.g.,

Beauveria, Fusarium, Paecilomyces and *Verticillium)* can exchange genetic information by the rare fusion of somatic hyphae (anastomosis) that allows for the exchange and fusion of nuclei creating diploid cells (Kendrick, 1985; Khachatourians, 1991). This parasexual reproductive cycle continues with mitotic crossing-over, and the non-raeiotic reduction of the altered nuclei to a haploid state (Kendrick, 1985).

Both of the deuteromycete classes, Coelomycetes (Sphaeropsidales) and Hyphomycetes (Mondiales), possess entomopathogenic members (Tañada and Kaya, 1993). Hyphomycete species produce conidia externally on conidiophores of varying complexity that are usually solitary but some species form multicellular structures known as conidiomata.

These conidiomata are not enclosed and can be synnematal, consisting of columnar conidiophore aggregations, or sporodochial, where aggregated conidiophores resemble cushion-shaped masses. Coelomycetes species produce conidia within enclosed conidiomata that are either acervular (flat) or pycnidial (flask-shaped) (Ingold, 1973, Kendrick, 1985).The class Coelomycetes has two genera, *Aschersonia* and *Tetranacrium,* with species that are important pathogens of whiteflies and scale insects (see Tables 2.4, 2.5, and McCoy, 1990). In contrast, the class Hyphomycetes contains over 40 entomopathogenic genera that are found worldwide in many varied habitats including aquatic environments (Table 2.2), soil ecosystems (Table 2.3), forest and agricultural areas (Table 2.4), and even caves (e.g., *Hirsutella* and *Stilbella;* see Samson et al., 1988). These fungi mainly infect hosts through the exoskeleton, though aquatic EPFs can infect their target insects post ingestion by penetrating the gut epithelia. Manyhyphomycetes cause muscardine insect diseases where host cadavers become engulfed by a coat of mycelial growth that is often pigmented. Another feature of these fungi is the production of secondary metabolites that are thought to be intimately involved with pathogenesis. Interesting hyphomycete members include *Verticillium lecanii* and *Culicinomyces clavisporus* (reviewed by Tañada and Kaya, 1993). *Verticillium lecanii* is capable of sporulating on live, infected aphids that continue to produce viviparous young!

This adaptation undoubtedly helps with the dispersal of spores to new hosts with the immediate vicinity. *Culicinomyces clavisporus* is unusual in that it produces submerged conidia rather than blastospores within its aquatic habitat As with *Tolypocladium cylindrosporunu* the spores of this fungus infect mosquito larvae by penetrating the gut wall, after they are ingested by the insect (see Samson and Soares, 1984).

Unlike most entomophthoralean EPF, hyphomycetes can be easily cultured on artificial media. This has led to extensive research into the physiology, biochemistry and genetics of this class of fungi. Most importantly, the facile culturing and mass production of these fungi, along with their high virulence, has made hyphomycetes attractive candidates for use as microbial insecticides.

5- Distribution and diversity of Entomopathogenic fungi

Studies of biodiversity in agroecosystems and the delivery of ecosystem services to agricultural production have usually ignored the contribution of entomopathogens in the regulation of pest populations (Gurr *et al*., 2003; Tscharntke *et al*., 2005). However, entomopathogens are among the natural enemies of arthropod pests in agroecosystems. An improved understanding of the ecology of indigenous populations of these beneficial organisms is a prerequisite for the evaluation of their contributions to pest control and for predicting the impact of agricultural practices on their populations. The anamorphic entomopathogenic fungi *Beauveria bassiana* (Balsamo) Vuillemin and *Metarhizium anisopliae* (Metschnikoff) Sorokin from the order Hypocreales (Ascomycota) are natural enemies of a wide range of insects and arachnids and both fungi have a cosmopolitan distribution (Roberts and St. Leger, 2004; Rehner, 2005).

Much effort has been put into research on the development of *B. bassiana* and *M. anisopliae* as biological control agents (for inundation and inoculation biological control) to be applied in agriculture and forestry in temperate regions. However, this bulk of knowledge is in striking contrast to the lack of research into the fundamental ecology of these fungi in terrestrial ecosystems, including agroecosystems.

5.1 Distribution and diversity of indigenous populations

The soil environment is usually the conventional isolation site for hypocrealean entomopathogenic fungi (Keller and Zimmerman, 1989; Hajek, 1997), and several species can be found in both cultivated and more natural habitats (Steenberg, 1995; Va¨nninen, 1996; Bidochka et al., 1998; Klingen *et al*., 2002; Keller *et al*., 2003; Meyling and Eilenberg, 2006b). In studies of natural occurrence of entomopathogenic fungi in the soil, susceptible bait insects such as *Galleria mellonella* L. (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) or *Tenebrio molitor* L. (Coleoptera: Tenebrioidae) are usually added to soil samples in order to recover fungal isolates; in principle the method can be described as the use of selective media.Keller *et al.* (2003) found *M. anisopliae* to be common in both arable fields and adjacent meadows, but the species occurred at higher densities in meadows. In Canada, M. anisopliae was most frequent in agricultural fields compared to forest habitats (Bidochka et al., 1998), while *M. anisopliae* in Finland was isolated more often in southern parts of the country and the occurrence was not adversely affected by cultivation of the soil (Va¨nninen, 1996). In Danish soils M. anisopliae was more frequent in sun exposed habitats (i.e., cultivated areas) than in shaded habitats (Steenberg, 1995), which is similar to the Canadian findings. Furthermore, M. anisopliae was not among the entomopathogenic fungi isolated in the soil of a Danish forest ecosystem (Nielsen et al., 2004).

This implies characteristics of M. anisopliae as an 'agricultural' species that is most common in exposed and regularly disturbed soil environments. Local and significant differences in natural distribution on one location can, however, occur. Meyling and Eilenberg (2006b) found M. anisopliae to be locally rare in a Danish agricultural field while B. bassiana was the dominant fungal species.

Bidochka *et al*. (1998) found B. bassiana to be affiliated with shaded and uncultivated habitats (i.e., forests) and B. bassiana also occurred frequently in hedgerow soils at a Danish locality (Meyling and Eilenberg, 2006b). These differences in natural occurrences in soil challenge the CBC strategy. Based on the studies made at a regional scale, indigenous populations of M. anisopliae appeared to be the most suitable candidates for environmental manipulations because M. anisopliae was most associated with agricultural field soil. However, in the case of the Danish agroecosystem described by Meyling and Eilenberg (2006b), M. anisopliae was found to be rare locally and B. bassiana would be a more suitable candidate for CBC. Thus the scale of the landscape needs to be considered when evaluating indigenous populations of entomopathogenic fungi in soils.

Insects

Entomopathogenic fungi occur naturally as infections in insect hosts which can be collected in the field and incubated in the laboratory for documentation of the fungus. B. bassiana have been documented to occur naturally in >700 species of hosts (Inglis *et al*., 2001). Studies on the prevalence of fungi in insects have usually been limited to species that are pests or are important non-target species such as certain predators and parasitoids. However, it is likely that almost any major insect taxon collected intensively will be found to be a natural host for *B. bassiana* in temperate regions.

The occurrences of the fungi as infections in hosts are presumably the only part of the fungal life cycle in which the fungi can build up significant population sizes by reducing vast numbers of conidia. Thus contributing to the availability of susceptible hosts for fungal population increase is a key component when considering environmental manipulations in CBC strategies.

Plant associations

Recent evidence suggests that both B. bassiana and M. anisopliae have the potential to engage in fungus–plant interactions. The large majority of investigated higher vascular plants have been found to host fungal endophytes (Arnold and Lewis, 2005) including species in Clavicipitaceae contained within Hypocreales (White *et al*., 2002). B. bassiana has also been included in this spectrum of fungi with endophytic activity by infecting corn (Zea mays) (Bing and Lewis, 1991, 1992, 1993). Endophytic fungi are often regarded as plant-defending mutualists (Saikkonen et al., 2004) and the presence of B. bassiana in internal plant tissue has been discussed as an adaptive protection against herbivorous insects (Elliot et al., 2000; White et al., 2002). Besides natural occurrence in leaf tissue of corn, B. bassiana exhibited endophytic activity in cacao (Theobroma cacao) (Posada and Vega, 2005), poppy (Papaver somniferum) (Quesada-Moraga et al., 2006) and coffee (Coffea spp.) and tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum) (F.E.Vega, personal communication). In temperate regions, inoculum of B. bassiana has furthermore been isolated from phylloplanes of various plants in hedgerows in Denmark (Meyling and Eilenberg, 2006a). This occurrence was hypothesized to be a consequence of deposition from the surroundings but was also suggested to act as a natural infection pathway of endophytic activity (Meyling and Eilenberg, 2006a).

Plant association was also recently documented for *M. anisopliae*, but this association occurred below ground in the rhizosphere (Hu and St. Leger, 2002). The rhizosphere is the layer of soil immediately surrounding the root and many interactions between plants and other organisms occur in this interface (Bais *et al*., 2006). Factors in the rhizosphere therefore seemed to promote the persistence and biological activity of *M. anisopliae* (Hu and StLeger, 2002).

Wang et al. (2005) further documented that *M. anisopliae* expressed similar genes when growing in exudates from bean roots and on a nutrient rich medium while different genes were expressed by the fungus when growing on insect cuticle and in insect hemolymph. This indicated that M. anisopliae has developed different adaptations to function as a pathogen and to grow saprophytically in the rhizosphere (Wang et al., 2005). Survival outside the host may thus be critical for the ability of M. anisopliae to control insect pests in the soil (Roberts and St. Leger, 2004; Bruck, 2005).

Genetic diversity

Biodiversity is usually evaluated by assessment of species diversity. Biodiversity assessment of fungal communities is, however, challenging, because fungal taxa often consist of complexes of cryptic species (Bickford et al.,2007). Cryptic species are found in B. bassiana (Rehner and Buckley, 2005; Rehner et al., 2006) and seemingly also in the morphological species M. anisopliae (Bidochka et al., 2001, 2005). Evaluating the biodiversity contribution of B. bassiana and M. anisopliae in agroecosystems must therefore be based on an assessment of the genetic diversity to discover potential cryptic species. Traditional assessment of fungal species diversity is based on morphological features. Unfortunately, few and sometimes ambiguous characters are used for species separation.

Furthermore, many entomopathogenic hypocrealean fungi have probably exclusively anamorphic life cycles in temperate regions, at least outside East Asia, which additionally complicates matters about defining biological species and comparing intraspecific and interspecific genetic diversity. Recent advances in molecular techniques have shed new light on our understanding of species boundaries, especially within the genus *Beauveria*. Several studies have revealed much genetic diversity of the morphological species *B. bassiana* (Glare, 2004).

However, a recent phylogeny of Beauveria spp. showed that the morphological species *B. bassiana* in fact is paraphyletic and consists of two unrelated clades of which one is more related to *Beauveria brongniartii* (Saccardo) Petch than to the second ‘‘*B. bassiana*’’-group (Rehner and Buckley, 2005). This latter group is tentatively referred to as ‘pseudobassiana’ (Rehner et al., 2006) but it needs a formal description. The existence of two unrelated clades may explain some of the large genetic diversity reported in the morphological species B. bassiana. Furthermore, the two groups of *B. bassiana* are themselves assemblages of cryptic species or separate clades (Rehner and Buckley, 2005; Rehner et al., 2006) thus each group also contains much genetic diversity. Both groups infect a wide range of insects and can be isolated from the soil (Meyling, 2005; Rehner and Buckley, 2005) but unfortunately no data currently exists on differences in ecological niches between the groups.

3. Population dynamics

3.1. Population increase and infections of hosts Entomopathogenic fungi rely on arthropod hosts to build up population levels of infective stages (mitosporic conidia). During the

cropping season outbreaks of diseases can regularly be observed in insect populations in the field, referred to as epizootics. Generally, the development of epizootics rely on host population dynamics, the number of infective stages in the pathogen population and the viability of these, infection efficiency and development (Anderson and May, 1981) and a complex set of environmental factors and timing (Inglis et al., 2001). Considerable information on the biology of the organisms as well as specific environmental parameters (in time and space) is necessary to understand and predict the development of epizootics.

Key components of population dynamics of the entomopathogenic fungi are the build up of the population, the infection of hosts, and the survival and dispersal in the environment (Anderson and May, 1981).

3.2. Dispersal in the environment

Dispersal of infective stages of a pathogen is an important factor in disease development (Anderson and May, 1981). Infective propagules of entomopathogenic fungi in the Hypocreales are passively dispersed, and this is mainly considered to occur through the action of weather components like wind and rain (Hajek, 1997; Inglis et al., 2001; Shah and Pell, 2003). In air samples, B. bassiana was isolated among a large array of airborne fungi (Airaudi and Marchisio, 1996; Shimazu et al., 2002; Ulevicius et al., 2004) and deposition from the air could be one likely source of the newly documented occurrence of B. bassiana on phylloplanes of hedgerow plants (Meyling and Eilenberg, 2006a). However, localized transmission onto plant parts by rain splash has also been shown (Bruck and Lewis, 2002b) but rainfall also removed fungus inoculum that had been applied to foliage (Inglis et al., 2001). In the soil environment the hypocrealen entomopathogenic fungi can persist, but extensive proliferation and dispersal are limited.

Population build up relies on the conversion of host cadaver resources into infective conidia that are released from cadavers over time following sporulation (Gottwald and Tedders, 1982). The number of conidia released per host is dependent both on fungus species, host species, and host size. For example, B. bassiana released 10–200 times more conidia than M. anisopliae from adult pecan weevils (Gottwald and Tedders, 1982). Additionally, B. bassiana radiated out from weevil cadavers in the soil by hyphal growth and subsequently infected larvae in neighbouring experimental cells while M. anisopliae growth was restricted to the surface of the cadaver (Gottwald and Tedders, 1984).

Entomopathogenic fungi are dispersed by living infected hosts which migrate and die in another place than where they became infected (Hajek, 1997). Several aphid species migrate long distances high in the atmosphere and migrating aphids were found to harbour several entomopathogenic fungi (Entomophthorales and B. bassiana) (Feng et al., 2004). This implies that B. bassiana is able to travel over long distances as infections in hosts, which can later lead to new infections and establishment far away from the original site of the fungus. The potential of arthropods to disperse and vector entomopathogenic fungi by their activity has been demonstrated in different terrestrial ecosystems. In the soil, collembolans dispersed conidia of B. bassiana and M. anisopliae which were not pathogenic to them (Dromph and Vestergaard, 2002), both by carrying conidia on the cuticle and by ingesting conidia which, after passage through the digestive tract, could remain viable (Dromph, 2001). Moreover, collembolans were able to vector inoculum to other soil-dwelling insects and initiate infections in laboratory experiments (Dromph, 2003). Also soil-dwelling mites were shown to be potential vectors of B. bassiana (Renker et al., 2005).

4. Effects of agricultural practices and structure of the agroecosystem

4.1. Soil disturbance and environmental factors

Annually cropped agroecosystems are highly disturbed mostly due to tillage regimes and this affects the populations of natural enemies of crop pests. The communities of entomopathogenic fungi in the arable soil environments are different from communities of less disturbed habitats (Steenberg, 1995; Bidochka et al., 1998; Meyling and Eilenberg, 2006b) and less disturbance in the cropping system also affect the populations of the fungi.

In corn fields in the US, soil densities of B. bassiana (as measured by colony forming units per g of soil) under different tillage regimes were very variable between years,but were seemingly higher in no-tillage systems compared to systems subjected to ploughing and chiselling (Bing and Lewis, 1993). Likewise, conservation tillage regimes, using strip-till and no-till, were more favorable to B. bassiana and M. ansiopliae populations in the soil than conventional tillage regimes employing ploughing and disking (Hummel et al., 2002a). Furthermore, no-till cultivation in soybean and wheat positively affected the population levels of B. bassiana and M. anisopliae compared to conventional tillage (Sosa-Gomez and Moscardi, 1994). These findings of higher fungal densities in reduced tillage and no-till systems could be observations of indirect effects caused by increased levels of host populations of non-pest insects. High population levels of non-pest insects have been observed in reduced tillage systems (Hummel et al., 2002b). Exposed fungal inoculum is usually inactivated by the UV-components of solar radiation (Fargues et al., 1996, 1997b). Other abiotic factors affecting entomopathogenic fungi include temperature (Inglis et al., 2001) with strains exhibiting different temperature optima for growth (Fargues et al., 1997a).

Indeed, temperature, moisture and UV-radiation seem to be most important for B. bassiana survival (Meikle et al., 2003). Persistence of applied fungus material in soils has been studied for several isolates of different species but the complexity of the soil environment makes it difficult to evaluate single factors determining survival (Inglis et al., 2001). Factors such as soil texture (Groden and Lockwood, 1991), pH values and moisture contents (Lingg and Donaldson, 1981) have been explored and are thoroughly reviewed by Inglis et al. (2001) and Klingen and Haukeland (2006).

4.2. Use of agrochemicals

Chemical insecticides, herbicides and fungicides are usually applied in conventional farming practices. These compounds, especially fungicides applied against plant pathogens, might also negatively affect the populations of entomopathogenic fungi with reduced pest regulation potential as a consequence. Klingen and Haukeland (2006) provided a detailed review of published studies of effects of chemical pesticides on entomopathogenic fungi and nematodes. Their main conclusions were that insecticides and herbicides were not very harmful to fungal growth while fungicides were sometimes harmful (Klingen and Haukeland, 2006). However, most studies were performed in vitro with fungal cultures and extrapolation from studies in laboratory experiments to field conditions may not be straightforward. In the UK, for example, previous field application of the fungicide benomyl correlated with a lower incidence of B. bassiana in soil samples (Mietkiewski et al., 1997; Chandler et al., 1998). In vitro experiments further showed that the fungicide triadimefon inhibited the growth of B. bassiana, but fields previously treated with this product showed a higher frequency of occurrence of the fungus in soil samples than in samples from untreated control soils (Mietkiewski et al., 1997; Chandler et al., 1998).

The fungicidal product albicarb even increased activity of in vitro cultures of B. bassiana (Mietkiewski et al., 1997). This emphasizes that due to the complex interactions and composition of agroecosystems applications of specific fungicides are not necessarily detrimental to the occurrence of entomopathogenic fungi in the soil. Selected compounds could thus possibly be used in integrated pest management (Mietkiewski et al., 1997).

4.3. Crop diversification

Mixtures of plants within the crop can reduce colonization by pest species and the use of trap crops can lure the pest insects away from the crop by a push–pull strategy (Hooks and Johnson, 2003; Cook et al., 2007). Manipula tion of insect behavior may also affect the dispersal of entomopathogenic fungi in agroecosystems because fungal inoculum can be distributed by insect activity.

Reducing the area of bare ground between the crop plants by mulching may reduce the population sizes of pests by enhancing conditions for ground dwelling predators (Hellqvist, 1996; Schmidt et al., 2004). Mulching may be unfavorable for hypocrealean entomopathogenic fungi as increased amounts of organic matter in soil have been shown to increase antagonistic activity against the fungi (Fargues and Robert, 1985; Studdert and Kaya, 1990). Establishment of beetle banks within the fields for CBC targeted at populations of carabid beetles (Landis et al., 2000) could also promote populations of entomopathogenic fungi. Specifically, populations of certain genetic groups of B. bassiana or M. anisopliae, which have been documented to be absent from the cultivated soils in agroecosystems, could potentially benefit from beetle banks.

6- Action Mechanisms of entomopathogenic fungi

Large-scale production of entomopathogenic fungi for the control of insects concentrates mainly on three types of propagules: (a) vegetative cells named blastospores, which grow in submerged, liquid culture (b) vegetative, multicellular mycelium, produced in liquid fermentation either in pellets or in hyphae with a filamentous morphology, which are fragmented afterwards (Andersch, 1992; Stenzel, 1992), (c) conidia as so-called "resistant stage",

Which can be produced in a surface culture on solid medium, in a submerged culture in liquid medium or in a diphasic system which consists of inoculation of solid medium with blastospores produced in liquid fermentation (Jenkins & Prior, 1993; Jenkins & Lomer, 1994). While blastospores and conidia can infect the host directly, mycelium needs to grow and form infectious propagules first. Conidia can be produced easily and are more stablein challenging environmental conditions than blastospores. Additionally, spore germination on artificial media can differ to a great extent from germination oninsect cuticle. The insect cuticle is covered by a waxy layer containing fatty acids, lipids and sterols (Hackman, 1987). The cuticles of most insects contain fungistatic compounds that retard spore germination (Latge *et al*. 1987). Cuticular fatty acids have a profound effect on spore germination and differentiation. They are either toxic, fungistatic, or, occasionally for pathogenic species, stimulatory. The ability of oils to extract substances from insect cuticle was noted by Ibrahim *et al.* (1999). Those substances were found to have stimulatory or inhibitory effects on conidia of *M. anisopliae*.

Infection of an insect by entomopathogenic fungi occurs by a series of events. Some of the processes are known and understood, but there are many areas that still require clarification or investigation.

The process can be divided into three parts: adhesion of the fungal spore, penetration through the cuticle and establishment within the host. Much of the work examining adherence and penetration of entomopathogenic fungal spores has been carried out using *Metarhizium anisopliae* (Metschnikoff) (St Leger, 1993; Hajek and St Leger, 1994), but some studies have also been made with *B. bassiana* (Neves and Alves, 2004).

Attachment of several species of entomopathogenic fungi to insect cuticle has been found to be passive and nonspecific (Boucias et al., 1988). It has been shown that the dry conidia of both *M. anisopliae* and *B. bassiana* are hydrophobic and suggested that hydrophobic interactions are responsible for adherence of the spore (Boucias et al, 1988; Jeffs et al., 1999). Once the conidia have adhered to the cuticle and in response to stimuli the conidia will germinate and may eventually penetrate the cuticle as a result of both mechanical force and enzymatic degradation (Charnley and St Leger, 1991). Of the various processes that may be involved in determining virulence of an isolate one of the easiest to observe is adherence and germination of the conidia on the insect cuticle

An electron microscope study was undertaken to determine whether differences in adherence and germination of the conidia on the cuticle of *O. surinamensis* and *T. confusum* could explain the apparent differences. This study showed that quantitative and qualitative differences could be observed between the two species. *O. surinamensis* had a greater number of conidia adhering to the cuticle at each of the post-treatment periods.

This species had a much greater number of setae, particularly on the ventral abdomen, and the presence of these may have assisted with adherence of the conidia. Germinating conidia were observed more frequently on the cuticle of *O. surinamensis* than for *T. confusum*. There are several possible reasons for this 1) the setae of *O.surinamensis* may trap air near the surface of the cuticle which, as a result of cuticular and respiratory transpiration processes, may contain higher levels of moisture.

This would provide more favourable conditions for germination of the conidia. 2) The cuticular lipid profiles will differ between the two species. It is possible that the cuticle of *T. confusum* contains a substance that is inhibitory for conidia germination.

It has been shown that cuticular hydrocarbons can either promote or inhibit conidial germination (St Leger, 1991).

Tribolium species are also known to produce defensive quinones and it is possible that these chemicals may also inhibit germination. Inhibition of yeast and bacterial growth by the defensive secretions from *Tribolium* spp. has been shown (Prendeville and Stevens, 2002). Current studies are investigating these possibilities. The number of conidia found on both species decreased over time. This could be as a result of grooming activities. From this it would appear that conidia that have not germinated and penetrated the cuticle within the first 24-48 hours are unlikely to remain on the cuticle and play a role in the infection process. Penetration through the cuticle was not easily observed using this method. Even at points where penetration may be expected such as intersegmental regions penetration could not clearly be seen. The lack of significant germ tube growth on the cuticle of both species may indicate that penetration occurs directly below the point of attachment. Both *B. bassiana* and *M. anisopliae* have been shown to produce germ tubes that grow over the surface of the insect cuticle until they contact an area of relative weakness where penetration can easily be achieved (Butt et al, 1995).

Penetration by the fungal conidia is one of the factors that has been linked to virulence of various isolates of *Paecilomyces fumosoroseus* (Altre and Vandenburg, 2001). This study has shown that the early stages of fungal infection may play a key role in the susceptibility of storage beetles to some isolates of *B. bassiana*. The possible mechanisms for this require further investigation.

It may be possible to increase susceptibility through the formulation of the conidia. In particular compounds that are known to act on the cuticle could be incorporated if not detrimental to the conidia.

7- Biophysical properties of spore surfaces

At the field level, EPF spores initiate their infective action through contact. Biophysical studies have indicated that there are nonspecific- followed by specific-, modes of associations, requiring hydrophobic and electrostatic attractions between spores of EPF and insect exoskeleton. Spores then initiate the second and more specific attachment, frequently mediated by lectin-like associa- tions between spore surface sugars and the insect cuticle. The hydrophobicity of EPF spores is in part determined by proteins called hydrophobins (Wessels**,** 1997). A particular characteristic of insect epicuticle fatty acid and waxes is relevant to the hydrophobic or hydrophilic elements of the spore, favoring or impairing attachment. For example, a heavily waxy cuticle found in some homopterans is unlikely to be a target of EPF species with highly hydrophilic spores.



a) *O. surinamensis* 24 hr b) *T. confusum* 24 hr

c) *O. surinamensis* 72 hr d) *T. confusum* 72 hr

Figure 3. Attachment and germination of conidia on the ventral abdomen of *O. surinamensis* and *T. confusum*.

Characterization of spore hydrophobicity by phase partition assay (Jeffs & Khacha- tourians, 1997) has been cumbersome. As a result, the employment of hydrophobicity tests a key initial step of selection of potentially superior pathogenic EPF has lagged.

A new salt-mediated aggregation and sedimentation assay (SAS) for the determination of hydrophobicity with corroborating correlation to occurrence of specific proteins among EPF isolates has been developed in our laboratories (Jeffs & Khachatourians, 1997; Jeffs, Xavier, Mattai & Khachatourians, 1999).

8- Enzymes, toxins and pigments and pathogenecity or virulence

The entry into the host and successful growth of EPF needs production of extra-cellular hydrolytic enzymes such as proteases, chitinases and lipases, adhesive mucilaginous substance(s) and appressoria to aid penetration of a peg and spread of hyphal bodies. Also, production of toxins, whether peptides/ proteins or secondary metabolites is important in the disease process. In spite of the arsenals of toxins and hydrolytic enzymes, the speed or time with which EPF kill target pests is slow and unlike those of the synthetic chemicals. Chemical pesticides that work by contact mode of action work quickly and visibly in a matter of hours or a day.

The performance of the microbial agents in general falls short of the chemicals and has generated a negative perception. As long as EPF survive, spores should be able to germinate after contact with the host cuticle even if it occurs through the tarsi of the target insect as it moves on treated plant's or other surfaces in a field environment.The knowledge of the timing of the EPF life cycle should allow the selection of those isolates with fast germination rates (Bidochka, Pfeifer & Khachatourians, 1987).

Toxins, pigments and other secondary metabolites that could affect immune cell function and even singularly kill some insects, could be detoxified within certain insects (Dowd, 1988). For example, cyclic depsipeptides and linear peptides lower the immune system of the host (Boucias, Mazet, Pendland & Hung, 1995). In fact, toxic metabolites from *B. bassiana* affect filopodia formation and hemocytic activation (Pendland, Hung & Boucias, 1993). Because the genetic basis for the expression of such peptides is understood, the overproduction of linear or cyclic toxic peptides by fungal mutants in order to enhance pathogenicity should be within the realm of possibility (Pannacione & Annis, 2000). The entry of entomopathogenic fungi through the relatively massive barrier presented by the insect cuticle is considered to occur by a combination of mechanical pressure and enzymic degradation, but the relative importance of the two mechanisms is not known (Charnley, 1984).Several entomopathogenic fungi such as *Metarhizium anisopliae, Beauveria bassiana* and *Verticillium Iecanii,* when grown in liquid cultures containing locust cuticle as sole carbon source, produce a variety of hydrolytic enzymes with activity against the major components of insect cuticle, namely protein, chitin and lipid (St Leger *et al.,* 1986). Entomopathogenic fungi exhibit many attributes that determine virulence toward their hosts, including the production of degradative enzymes (Dias et al.2008). Fungal proteases are believed to play an important role in cuticle penetration (St Leger, 1995). The best known determinant of fungal entomopathogenicity is based on subtilisinlike serine protease (designated Pr1) of *Metarhizium anisopliae*, where its role in host invasion has been clearly demonstrated (St. Leger, 1988) This enzyme is adapted to extensively degrade insect cuticular protein and has been ultrastructurally located in the host cuticle during the early stages of penetration.

A trypsin-like enzyme (Pr2) belonging to the serine protease group also occurs during the early stages of cuticle colonization suggesting that it has some role in degrading extracellular proteins complementary to that of Pr1 (St Leger 1996).

9- The fungal infection process

The infection process of entomopathogenic fungi is divided into

a) parasitic phase and

b) saprophytic phase

The infection process comprises of the following steps:

i. Attachment of the infective units, e.g., conidia or zoospores to the cuticle.

ii. Germination of the infection unit on the cuticle.

iii. Peneration of the cuticle either directly by germ tubes or by infection pegs from appressoria.

iv. Multiplication of the yeast phase-hyphal bodies in the haemocoel.

v. Death of the host.

vi. Growth in the mycelial phase with invasion of virtually all the host.

vii. Penetration of hyphae from the interior through the cuticle to the exterior of the insect.

viii. Production of infective units on the exterior of the insect.

The parasitic phase starts with the adhesion of the conidia to the cuticle. The entemopathogenic fungi invade their hosts by direct penetration of the host exoskeleton or cuticle. The penetration of the insect cuticle can be performed in different ways.

Verticilliumlecanii is capable of penetrating the insect cuticle only with its germtube while *Metarhiziumanisopliae* and *Beauveria bassiana* produce specific infection hyphae originating at appressoria.After the successful penetration the fungus then distributed into the haemolymph by formation ofblastospores.

The saprophytic phase starts with the death of insect which is performed by a number of mechanisms. Viz.

i) Mechanically by growth of the fungus in the insect body & by retrival of nutrients from the insect body.

ii) Mechanically by growth of the fungus in the insect body & by toxins produced by the fungus (Bhattacharyya et al. 2004).

1.2.1 Recognition and spore attachment to host

The process by which a spore recognizes an appropriate host has not yet been fully elucidated. There are a complex signalling apparatus (G-proteins, receptors, kinases, and secondary messengers) in some entomogenous fungi. Attachment of the conidium to host cuticle is mediated through non-specific hydrophobic interaction between conidial rodlets and the waxy surface of the insect cuticle (St. Leger, 1993; Boucias *et al,* 1988: Wang and St. Leger 2005).

1.2.2 Germination and penetration of integument

Before production of a germ tube can be initiated, the spore must overcome fungistatic or toxic compounds present in the insect cuticle (Samuels & Reynolds, 2000; Sosa-Gomez *et al,* 1997; St. Leger, 1991). Successful germination is also dependent upon appropriate humidity, available nutrients and surface topography (Dillon &Charnley, 1990; St. Leger *et al,* 1994,1991). Ibrahim *et al.* (1999) determined that *M. anisopliae* conidia require water activity > 0.98 (=98% RH) for germination, irrespective of aqueous or oil-based formulations.

Wang and St. Leger (2005) observed that *M. anisopliae* var. *acridum* conidia germinated on *S.gregaria,* however, germination on beetles or hemipteran bugs was either repressed or occurred with low levels of differentiation.

Penetration through the cuticle is accomplished by production of an appressorium, a specialized structure at the apex of the germ tube (St. Leger *et al.,* 1989). Formation of the appressorium is influenced by surface topography, with preference for hard, smooth surfaces (St. Leger *et al.,* 1991). Penetration pegs produced by the appressorium enter the cuticle, usually at intersegmental folds, with the aid of mechanical pressure and cuticle-degrading enzymes including proteases, chitinases, lipases, esterases and phosphatases (St. Leger *et al.* 1996; Gillespie *et al.,* 1998; Freimoser *et al.,* 2003).

1.2.3 Growth and proliferation within host

Once the fungus has entered the haemocoel, colonization is dependent upon the ability of the fungus to overcome a combination of cellular and humoral responses that comprise the host immune system (Gillespie *et al.,* 2000). Fungal cytotoxic compounds are produced by blastospores, free-floating yeast-like cells produced as hyphae bud within the haemocoel (Zacharuk, 1971).

The production of secondary fungal metabolites, primarily destruxins A, B and E, by isolates of *M. flavoviride* has been demonstrated *in vitro* (Amiri-Besheli *et al,* 2000).. Destruxins have been shown to possess immunomodulatory ability (Vilcinskas *et al,* 1997; Vey *et al,* 1995; Huxham *et al,* 1989) as well as disrupt normal cell metabolism (James *et al,* 1993).

Expression of genes involved in stress response, detoxification and transmembrane transport in *M.anisopliae* var. *acridum* (Freimoser *et al,* 2003) is likely induced by the host humoral response. Subsequent to successful suppression of the host immune response, colonization of the haemocoel is completed and the insect succumbs. The reasons for host mortality are not yet fully understood, but may be due to a combination of mechanical damage to internal organs, nutrient depletion and/or toxicosis (Gillespie & Claydon, 1989).

1.2.4 **Re-emergence from the host and conidiation**

Under favourable environmental conditions, mycelial growth resumes and hyphae emerge soon after host death to colonize the cadaver surface. Hyphal differentiation into conidiogenous cells occurs and concomitant sporulation completes the infection process.

At this point, abiotic factors are the main determinants in persistence of the infectious

In vivo developmental cycle of entomopathogenic fungi.

Adhesion of conidea to the host cuticle → Germ tube formation→ Penetration of host cuticle → Vegetable growth within host→ Vegetable growth within host → Production of conidiophores→Death of the host insect

10 - Behavioral changes in infected insects

Modified behaviors induced in insects by entomopathogenic fungi

Behavioral modifications have been observed in many insects as a result of parasitism or pathogens. Altered behaviors can include changes in activities which result in the insect being more conspicuous to predation. Induced behavioral alterations could have arisen for the following reasons. There is many hypotheses as to why parasitized animals would exhibit behavioral changes. The behaviors could be described as (1) beneficial to the parasite, making the intermediate host more susceptible to predation and allowing the parasite to be transmitted to the definitive host.

This susceptibility to predation is only advantageous however, if the parasite is in an intermediate host which is preyed upon by the final host, and if the parasite has developed to its infective stage. "By far the majority of documented parasite-induced changes in host behavior thought to be parasite adaptations are believed to enhance parasite transmission from host to host" (Poulin 1995). The behaviors may also be beneficial to the pathogen. The changes may cause better dispersal of an air-borne pathogen or enhance the pathogens growth rate. (2) The modified behavior may be beneficial to the host. From the intermediate host's perspective behaviors such as choosing different locations or lighting regimes could constitute induced physiological fever (behavioral fever) and exist as a mechanism to fight off the parasite. Such situations would have arisen by natural selection to benefit the host. Behavioral fever has been observed for several insects infected with protozoans, endotoxins, or bacterial pyrogens. This behavior would be considered host defense (Horton and Moore 1993). Other explanations for altered behavior due to parasitism include kin selected-host suicide. In host suicide the host behaves in such a way as to increase the probability of death by predation in order to lower the risk of parasite infection for other members of the host species.

(3) Other modifications of behavior could not be adaptive to the host or the parasite, but rather the response to the pathological effects of the parasite (Robb and Reid 1996). Some modified behaviors are discussed as following:

FEEDING BEHAVIOR

(A) Changes in Food Consumption

Many studies have investigated the effects of fungal infection on feeding by host insects. These studies show that although insects killed by entomopathogenic fungi often take longer to die than if treated with chemical pesticides, damage to crops is decreased during the disease incubation period because infected insects eat less than healthy ones. Most studies investigating insect species infected with the hypocrealean fungi, *Metarhizium* sp. or *Beauveria* sp., have demonstrated a significant reduction in feeding as early as 1 to 4 days after inoculation (Tefera and Pringle 2003). Feeding then decreases through time until death (France *et al*. 2002). Several studies have shown that the reduction in feeding is associated with dose, e.g., at the highest dose of *Metarhizium anisopliae* var. *acridum* (=*M. flavoviride*), *Schistocerca gregaria* had eaten only as much wheat before death on day 5 after treatment as insects that received the lowest dose had eaten by day 3 (Moore et al. 1992). Nutritional indices were calculated for lepidopteran larvae infected with *Beauveria bassiana* and *Nomuraea rileyi* to show that weight gain and efficiency of conversion of ingested and digested food decreased at the same time as food consumption. Therefore, as infected insects start eating less, they are less able to digest food. It has been hypothesized that reduction in feeding may be due, at least in part, to toxic substances or mechanical disruption by these hypocrealean fungi (Samuels et al. 1988).

Feeding reductions documented for *Entomophaga maimaiga*, Entomophaga *aulicae*, and *Zoophthora radicans*, all of which are entomophthoralean fungi, seem to occur later in disease incubation than observed for hypocrealean infection. Gypsy moth, *Lymantria dispar*, larvae infected with *E. maimaiga* reduced feeding only 2 days before death (Hajek 1989).

Spruce budworm larvae, *Choristoneura fumiferana*, infected with *E. aulicae* appeared to assimilate food similarly to healthy insects until 24 h before death and this was similar for diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella*, larvae infected by *Z. radicans* (Furlong et al. 1997).

The delayed reduction in feeding associated with these entomophthoralean pathogens suggests that these more obligate pathogens affect host behavior only near death, thus allowing hosts to feed and grow for as long as possible, ensuring maximum growth and reproductive potential for these pathogens. There is a direct relationship between cadaver size and the number of conidia produced (Glare and Milner 1991). Transmission electron microscopy has shown that these fungi do not invade the hosts' vital tissues until late in the infection process (Funk et al. 1993) and therefore hosts behave normally until shortly before death. Maintaining normal rates of food consumption and digestion in fungal-infected insects for as long as possible clearly benefits the fungal pathogen because this maximizes the amount of food available for the entomopathogen.

(B) Changes in Feeding Location

Few studies have been conducted on shifts in feeding location by infected hosts during disease incubation. In general, the feeding and resting locations of fungal infected insects do not appear to change throughout most of the disease incubation period and distinctive changes in location occur briefly before death when insects are no longer feeding.

However, pea aphids, *A. pisum*, infected with *Pandora neoaphidis* were found on the undersides of leaves or had left alfalfa plants and were found in the surrounding habitat more frequently than were healthy aphids (Jensen et *al*. 2001).

Distribution of infected versus healthy pea aphids at lower densities on bean plants was not significantly different, although there was a trend toward more infected aphids at mid-height and lower positions on plants (30.0%) compared with healthy aphids (12.5%). The less mobile aphid *Sitobion avenae* on wheat did change feeding height when infected (Roy *et al*. 2002). Changes in location of infected *A. pisum* could be a fungal-induced modification for optimizing spore dispersal and transmission. It could, however, also be considered altruistic behavior (kin selection) moving the aphids away to protect progeny and sisters from infection. Aphids moving off plants could even be attempting "suicide" (McAllister and Roitberg 1987), which would decrease chances of infection spreading to other colony embers. Infected aphids are alive for several days between infection and death, and it would be interesting to evaluate when during disease incubation these movements begin.

Behavioral fever

Behavioral fever is the elevation of body temperature in infected insects above that normally occurring in uninfected insects. Infected insects achieve this by seeking out locations in the environment that are at a higher temperature, and the outcome is death or suppression of the pathogen and a delay in the time until death. Fever is a common host response to many pathogens. It is an energetically costly process and is not inevitably beneficial to hosts, but there are many examples in which the onset of fever does suppress pathogens and so reduces or delays host mortality (Moore 2002). Observations on fungal-infected grasshoppers and caterpillars demonstrated the benefits of raising body temperature because basking at elevated positions reduced pathogen-induced mortality (Carruthers *et al*. 1992). Such a response has been noted in many taxonomically diverse host-pathogen interactions but it is

sometimes difficult to distinguish between active behavioral fever and a benefit that is a side effect of the natural thermoregulatory basking behavior of some insect hosts. Olesen (1984) first documented behavioral fever in fungal-infected house flies. In the first few days of infection, house flies seek temperatures in excess of 40◦C and benefit from this preference by suppressing the pathogen.

Kalsbeek *et al*. (2001) showed that most infected flies captured in cool positions within farmyard barns died within 2 days but infected flies sampled from sun-exposed places took between 6 and 8 days to die after capture, inferring that they were newly infected at the time of sampling. Insect species that naturally thermoregulate can maintain body temperatures that restrict pathogen growth without changing their normal behavior (Inglis *et al*.1997; Blanford and Thomas 2000). A recent study (Elliot *et al*. 2002) demonstrated a direct relationship between behavioral fever and host fitness. Infected locusts, which were allowed to reach body temperatures preferred by healthy hosts but not allowed to fever, took longer to die than infected insects at cooler temperatures.

Reproductive behavior

(A) Direct Effect on Fecundity

Reduction in fecundity can increase pathogen fitness by diverting host resources such as energy to the pathogen. Studies on both hypocrealean fungi (Tefera and Pringle 2003: Baverstock *et al*. 2004) and entomophthoralean fungi (Baverstock *et al*. 2004) have shown that infected hosts produce fewer progeny.

The total reproductive output of fledgling desert locusts, *S. gregaria*, infected with *M. anisopliae* var. *acridium* (Hypocreales) was lower than that of uninfected individuals, although in the first few days of infection the locusts produced more eggs (Blanford and Thomas 2001). From an evolutionary perspective, early onset of reproduction is a sensible strategy because it ensures that individuals realize part of their reproductive potential. In the same study the fecundity of mature infected locusts was also assessed and interestingly the pathogen had no effect on reproductive behavior. The authors suggest that this is due to effects on the synthesis of juvenile hormone. Infection is thought to induce rapid synthesis of juvenile hormone and this likely has a greater effect on newly emerged adults, in which concentrations of the hormone are nil or low, compared with older adults (10 to 12 days), which already have high hormone levels (Blanford and Thomas 2001). A reduction in reproductive output was observed for pea aphids, *A. pisum*, infected with *B. bassiana* (Hypocreales) and similarly for pea aphids infected with *P. neoaphidis* (Entomophthorales). In contrast, *B. bassiana* takes approximately 6 days to kill the aphid, often by the production of secondary metabolites; it then enters a saprophytic phase, sporulating a few days post death. It is conceivable that *P. neoaphidis* is inducing the reduced reproductive rate and therefore diverting host resources for its benefit. However, the reduction in reproductive rate observed for *B. bassiana*-infected aphids may simply be a result of the fungus indiscriminately invading the host's tissues and producing secondary metabolites that interfere with nymph production.

In the case of adult carrot flies, *Chamaepsila rosae*, infected with *E.schizophorae* the effect on fecundity is more indirect. Normally, female carrot flies deposit their eggs on the ground near the base of food plants such as carrots, and after hatching the larvae move into the soil, where they eat small roots. However, infected flies seek elevated positions such as the top of trees and shrubs in the hedgerows, and sporulating cadavers are found several meters above ground (Eilenberg 1987).

(B) Increase in Sexual Attractiveness of Infected Hosts to Mates

The exposed abdomen, which is commonly seen among adults of some insect species (especially flies) killed by entomophthoralean fungi (Figures 2*a*–*c*), is apparently highly attractive to individuals in the host population seeking a mate for copulation.

Male house flies, *M. domestica*, are thus significantly more attracted to fungus-killed females than to uninfected females (Møller 1993). It is assumed that this increased attractiveness is a result of the increase in size of the swollen abdomen of the infected females. However, a further study has demonstrated that even significantly smaller infected females are more attractive to males than are larger infected female flies. Furthermore, sex pheromone production by young, infected female house flies is reduced compared with uninfected house flies (Zurek *et al*. 2002). Therefore, the occurrence of increased attractiveness of infected female house flies to male flies cannot be explained by size or sex pheromone production alone. Other visual or chemical cues are likely to account for the observed phenomenon; perhaps the fungus is producing a semiochemical that attracts males.

Figure 2 (*a*) Male house fly (*Musca domestica*) probing a dead female house fly killed by *Entomophthora schizophorae*. (*b*) Live male house fly copulating with dead female house fly killed by *E. schizophorae* infection. (*c*) Male secondary screwworm fly (*Cochliomyia macellaria*) attempting to copulate with dead female infected with *Erynia bullata*. (*d*) Carrot flies (*Chamaepsila rosae*) killed by *E. schizophorae* attached to leaves in a hedge at a height of four meters (Entomol. 2006).

The increased attractiveness is undoubtedly advantageous to the fungus for a number of reasons. First, males may themselves receive infection during physical contact with sporulating cadavers. Second, males trying to copulate with the cadavers may transmit conidia to uninfected females that they subsequently copulate with (Watson *et al.*1993). For the fly, this behavior is disadvantageous. Not only do more individuals die from infection but females lay fewer eggs after mating with infected males compared with females mating with uninfected males (Watson *et al.*1993).

(C) Response to and Production of Sex Pheromones

Female *P. xylostella* moths produce sex pheromone to attract males, which respond by pre-mating wing fanning. When females were infected by *Z. radicans*, release of pheromone was significantly reduced compared with uninfected females, but only within 24 h of death.

The response of infected male *P. xylostella* was also significantly reduced compared with uninfected moths 2 days after infection and by 3 days after infection no infected males responded at all and were dead on day 4 (Reddy *et al*.1998).

Social behavior

Eusocial insects (all ants and termites; many bees and wasps) are similar to solitary insects in many aspects of life history, but fitness in eusocial insects is the result of cooperative effort (Moret and Schmid-Hempel. 2004). Therefore, any behavioral response to a pathogen will have fitness implications beyond the individual and will be more complex to decipher in terms of costs and benefits to the host and pathogen.

Despite this complexity there have been many reports of host-mediated behavior reducing pathogen transmission within colonies. Host behaviors observed include increased grooming, increased nest cleaning, secretion of antibiotics, pathogen avoidance, dispersal of infected individuals, and relocation of the entire colony. Increased grooming in response to fungal pathogens has been documented widely in both solitary and eusocial insects (Siebeneicher *et al*. 1992; Oi and Pereira. 1993). Removal of fungal conidia by allo-grooming and mutual grooming can be highly effective. The number of *B. bassiana* conidia on the integument of both larval and adult red imported fire ants, *Solenopsis invicta*, was significantly reduced by grooming (Oi and Pereira. 1993). Similarly, *Cordyceps* spores were removed by another species of ant, *Cephalotes atratus*. Although an increase in grooming behavior would appear beneficial to the host in terms of reducing infection, inoculum could be incidentally disseminated to conspecifics within the nest (Kramm *et al*. 1982). Infective propagules that are removed by grooming with mouthparts are not ingested but are stored in an infrabuccal cavity within the buccal chamber, where they are discharged as a pellet. Infection can occur if inocula germinate and penetrate through the buccal chamber, but it has been hypothesized that the labial gland, which produces secretions rich in chitinases, has a fungistatic function (Oi and Pereira. 1993).

The presence of *B. bassiana* within the nest correlates with an increase in the concentration of these antimicrobial substances, and so it can be inferred that release of these secretions is induced by the pathogen. In some circumstances social insects avoid areas of high inoculum density within the nest or establish new nest sites (Oi and Pereira. 1993). The benefits to the host are clear in terms of reducing contact with the pathogen but there are undoubtedly costs in moving. Leaf-cutting ants living in high densities in colonies had much greater resistance to *Metarhizium anisopliae* infections than would be predicted, and apparently at a low cost (Hughes et *al.* 2002).

Hygienic behaviors and pathogen avoidance are cooperative behaviors that reduce contact and transmission of pathogens, increasing the inclusive fitness of host insects, but the pathogen is not acting directly on the individual eliciting the behavior. However, a well-documented behavioral alteration of social insects infected with fungal pathogens is dispersal from the colony. This has been termed "adaptive suicide" (McAllister *et al*. 1990), but this phrase is contentious not just because of the anthropomorphic connotations it implies but also because the process does not necessarily increase the inclusive fitness of the host (Poulin 1992). Dispersal of infected individuals undoubtedly removes the pathogen from the colony but it is likely that this would happen through nest cleaning anyway. Therefore, unless transmission is so rapid that nest cleaning would be ineffective, the inclusive fitness would be unaffected (Oi and Pereira. 1993).

Behavioral alterations of social insects can have implications for the fitness of the entire social group. Therefore, the task of unraveling the adaptive nature of host-altered behavior in social insects is challenging. This group of insects presents a unique situation in which to study host-pathogen relationships from a behavioral perspective.

Defensive reactions

Insects employ various defensive strategies in response to attack from predators and parasites ranging from crypsis to dramatic escape behaviors. In general, defensive reactions of hosts to pathogens are considered from an immunological perspective. However, recent studies indicate that termites (*Reticulitermes flavipes* and *Zootermopsis angusticollis*)

Detect the presence of conidia of the fungal pathogen *M. anisopliae* and exhibit a striking vibratory display (Rosengaus *et al*. 1999). Nestmates detecting the signal through the substrate increase the distance between themselves and spore-exposed termites, thus escaping infection. It is conceivable that the selection pressures to develop such alarm behaviors in response to pathogens are higher in subterranean environments where pathogen density is high and particularly in colony-forming insects where high host densities would be optimal for rapid pathogen transmission.

Host behavioral changes can be detrimental to the pathogen if the host is less able to escape predation or if greater movement makes them more apparent to predators prior to death (Arthurs and Thomas 2001.) found that *S. gregaria* locusts infected with *M. anisopliae* increased locomotion within 3 days of infection (11days to kill), potentially making them easy targets for predators. Later in infection they became sluggish and less able to escape predation. Whether this is altruistic behavior on the part of the locust or a side effect of infection is unknown but could result in reduced pathogen fitness. Pathogens can benefit from the defensive behaviors of infected individuals but there is also the potential for the pathogen to manipulate defensive behavior to increase the advantage. Pea aphids infected with *P. neoaphidis* become less responsive to alarm pheromone produced by conspecifics (Roy *et al*. 1999). As a result, infected aphids could be more susceptible to attack by predators and this would reduce the pathogen density. However, aphid-specific predators do not generally consume fungal-infected aphids (Pell *et al*. 1997; Roy *et al.* 1998). The escape response of pea aphids commonly includes dislodging from the plant, and infected aphids are less able to recolonize plants. Therefore, it is advantageous to the pathogen to prevent infected aphids from responding, ensuring a greater number of infected cadavers remain on the plant and thus benefiting pathogen transmission to other foliar-feeding aphids.

Aphids infected with *P. neoaphidis* continue to produce alarm pheromone and elicit a response in neighboring aphids. Again, this is advantageous to pathogen transmission because host movement enhances transmission (Furlong and Pell, 1996; Pell *et al*. 1997; Fuentes-Contreras et *al*., 1998).

The intimate association of such fungi with their host would seem to drive such adaptations. However, the selection pressure on more generalist fungi, such as *B. bassiana*, to manipulate individual host species is likely to be minimal because such fungi have diffuse relationships with many hosts and can also persist saprotrophically. In contrast, because of the broad host range of *B. bassiana*, it is in the interest of potential hosts to avoid contact with the pathogen. This behavior is seen in termites that recognize and avoid conspecifics contaminated with virulent isolates of *M. anisopliae*, although they show no response to contamination with less virulent isolates of *B. bassiana* (Staples and Milner, 2000; Myles, 2002). It is possible that termites can discriminate fungal species or even isolates that are pathogenic from those that are not. Alternatively, the interactions may represent new associations in evolutionary time and so avoidance behavior has not yet been selected for. This would explain the inability of the parasitoid *Cephalonomia tarsalis*, which attacks grain beetles, to identify and avoid *B. bassiana* conidia or infected beetles. Although the beetle and parasitoid are coevolved, the fungus (*B. bassiana*) is a new addition to the system (introduced as an augmentative biological control agent). *B. bassiana* not only competes with the parasitoid for host resources but can also directly infect the parasitoid (Lord, 2001).

Phototropic and geotropic responses

Relations between light and behavior during disease incubation were studied. Results are somewhat equivocal because effects of light (positive phototrophism) are not easily separated from potential effects of gravity (negative geotrophism) and, to some extent, temperature. Hence, we deal with phototropic and geotropic responses. Common armyworm larvae, *Pseudaletia separata*, normally spend the day in the soil, where it is dark, and they leave the soil to feed at night. However, when infected with the entomophthoralean fungus *E. aulicae*, many larvae spend the day in the light instead and even move vertically upward on plants (Ohbayashi and Iwabuchi, 1991). Therefore, their normal behavior of avoiding light is completely changed when infected. Such a change in behavior that exposes larvae to visual predators could be risky, but it could also benefit the fungus because larvae die in exposed locations. Similarly, larvae of sciarid flies normally live in the upper soil layers. However, when a disease caused by *Erynia sciariae* is progressing, the larvae move to the top of the soil or growth medium to die after which the fungus sporulates (Figure 2g). This behavior appears to benefit the fungus because infective conidia are dispersed on the soil surface, where they infect small larvae moving into the soil. Numerous studies have documented that entomophthoralean fungi kill insect hosts during the late afternoon or evening (Milner et al., 1984, Ohbayashi and Iwabuchi, 1991). Elegant experiments have been conducted to document associations between light cycles and timing of death of fungal-infected insects. Most deaths were associated with photoperiod and occurred during periods of light (Milner et al., 1984).

Mycoinsecticides

Beauveria bassiana

An extensive literature search was conducted to evaluate risks related to human exposure to *Beauveria bassiana.*

A total of 8 distinct reports naming the genus *Beauveria* Vuill. as the alleged cause of fungal infections and disease of humans were identified, but only 4 of these reports could be conclusively attributed to species of the genus *Beauveria*.

The most severe human cases of *Beauveria* infections are two recent reports of disseminated mycoses (Henke *et al.*, 2002; Tucker *et al.*, 2004). Both of these infections occurred in severely immuno-compromised patients with acute leukemia. Prior the development of mycoses, one patient underwent 4 full cycles of chemotherapy;

The other was in her first cycle of chemotherapy and had been diagnosed with *Streptococcus viridans* in her bloodstream. Despite their poor health, both patients responded well the antimycotic treatments and fully recovered from their mycoses.



Fig. 2 g. Sciarid fly larvae dying from infection with *Erynia sciariae* at the top of the growth medium.

While there are some reports of *Beauveria* spp. isolated from patients with corneal keratitis, the *B. bassiana* can certainly not be considered a significant eye pathogen. Of four reports linked to *Beauveria*, only two (Kisla *et al.*, 2000) can be conclusively attributed to *Beauveria bassiana*. Exposure to the fungus *B. bassiana* caused higher mortality rates in malaria-infected mosquitoes, reduced the proportion of surviving mosquitoes carrying sporozoites in their salivary glands, and diminished the likelihood for infected mosquitoes to take subsequent bloodmeals (Blanford et al., 2005). Similarly effective, the entomopathogenic fungus Metarhizium anisopliae reduced the degree of malaria transmission by 75% in independent field experiments (Scholte et al., 2005). Species of the genus *Beauveria* have been reported to produce the secondary metabolites bassianin, bassiacridin, beauvericin, bassianolide, beauverolides, tenellin and oosporein (Vey *et al.*, 2001; Quesada-Moraga & Vey, 2004). Hatting et al. (2004) showed that B. bassiana could control up to 65% of Duraphis noxia in field condition. Comparison of mortality percentage of this chemicals demonstrated the significant differences and the most percentage (100%) was observed in A. annua extract, B. bassiana secondary metabolites (Zibaee& Bandani, 2009)

It is important to note that the discovery of a certain metabolite during liquid cultivation of a specific strain cannot be extrapolated to all strains of the species.

Moreover, it cannot be assumed that these substances will also be produced under natural conditions in the soil or in the target host. Further, it should be kept in mind that entomopathogenic fungi naturally cause epizootics similar to those resulting from artificial inoculations. There are no reports of metabolites entering the food chain or accumulating in the environment as a result of such natural or artificicial epizootics or natural metabolite secretion (Vey *et al.*, 2001). In contrast, numerous studies have documented environmental accumulation and food chain contamination with chemical pesticides and antibiotics used in agricultural production.

Metarhizium anisopliae

Metarhizium anisopliae, one of the most famous soil inhabitant entomopathogens has a virulence potential on plant and animal pests (Tajick Ghanbary, 2009) .*Metarhizium* is a genus composed of three species divided into ten clades (or varieties) (Driver *et al.*, 2000). The most common form is the genetically highly diverse *Metarhizium anisopliae* var. *anisopliae* (Metsch.) Sorokin. Murad et al. (2006) Stated that ntomopathogenic fungi, such as *Metarhizium anisopliae*, are able to control insect-pests and are widely applied in biological control. The soil forms its normal habitat, although it does not grow saprophytically in soil but exists as dormant conidia which infect susceptible hosts on contact. The soil-inhabiting larvae of scarab beetles are typical hosts and coevolution has led to some isolates being specific to one or two genera of scarab. Thus the most virulent strains are usually those which cause natural epizootics in that particular host. This hostspecificity is dose-dependent: a high dose will infect a very wide range of hosts.

At present, while the DNA profile is a guide to finding a virulent isolate, bioassay is the only way to find an effective isolate. Besides virulence and host specificity, temperature is an important factor in selecting an isolate for development as a mycoinsecticide. Most isolates grow well between 15° and 30°C, although some develop at temperatures as low as 5-10°C and others grow even at 35-40°C. Many researchers suspectedthat the rapid kill by *M. anisopliae* on its host could be caused not only through direct physical invasion of the hyphae, but also possible due to some enzymatic mechanisms or toxic metabolites produced by the fungus (Jiang *et al*., 2002, 2003). Some isolates produce one or more members of a family of toxins called destruxins and while production of destruxin does sometimes correlate with virulence (Kershaw *et al*., 1999), their role in pathogenicity is controversial. Certainly these compounds are toxic to some non-hosts when injected directly into the body cavity and one, destruxin E, is toxic *per os* for other insects such as Diptera, leading to speculation that destruxins could be used as insecticides. They can also be antifeedants (Amiri *et al.,* 1999).

Lagenidium

Only one species of the genus *Lagenidium* is known to be a facultative parasite of mosquito larvae, namely *Lagenidium giganteum*. It consists of two stages: oospores (sexual), and zoospores (asexual) . Fungal reproduction is both asexual (zoospores) and sexual (oospores). In order to infect mosquito larvae, zoospores must be formed. These biflagellate, motile zoospores are the asexual stage of the fungus. They do not have a cell wall, and are therefore too fragile to be used directly for mosquito control (Kerwin et al. 1994).

A further disadvantage of the asexual stage is its short shelf life; zoospores survive for only 48 hrs after emerging from an infected larva. Further problems include the need to keep the mycelium completely hydrated, its susceptibility to be overwhelmed by contaminating

microorganisms following formulation, lack of stability under extreme temperatures, and special handling required to keep the formulated product from becoming anaerobic (Su et al., 1986; Kerwin and Washino, 1986; Kerwin et al., 1994). Oospores, the sexual stage of *Lagenidium giganteum*, can also be used as inoculum. They are dormant propagules, resistant to desiccation and mechanical abrasion and stable for at least seven years, which allows multivoltine persistence of the fungus in some habitats (Kerwin et al., 1994).

Pythium

Most species belonging to the genus *Pythium* are pathogens of vascular plants, other fungi, and algae (Van der Plaats-Niterink 1981). Some species however, have been found to be mildly, to highly pathogenic to insects. A *Pythium* sp. caused a high level of mortality in a field collection of the tree hole mosquito *Ochlerotatus sierrensis* (Ludlow) (Clark et al., 1966). In 1988, Saunders et al.isolated *Pythium flevoense* Van der Plaats-Niterink from wild populations of *Ochlerotatus sierrensis* in California, occurring in 42% of the sampled tree holes, although this fungus caused infections in only 14% of larvae during 21 weeks of exposure in laboratory bioassays. The fact that this fungus infected mechanically injured larvae rather than healthy larvae indicates that the fungus is opportunistic rather than strictly entomopathogenic. Su et al. (2001) isolated *P. carolinianum* Matthews from Guizhou province, China, in 1994. In outdoor bioassays the authors found infection levels of 13.3-100% in *Culex quinquefasciatus* larvae, and mentioned that a population of *Aedes albopictus* (Skuse) was 'markedly controlled', but no infection percentages were given. Notwithstanding the pathogenicity of some *Pythium* species to mosquitoes, on the whole they are not considered suitable for biocontrol of mosquitoes.

Crypticola

Crypticola clavulifera Humber, Frances and Sweeney has been isolated from the midge *Forcipomyia marksae* Tokunaga (Ceratopogonidae) in Queensland, Australia, in 1984 (Frances et al. 1989). Its biology is similar to that of *Lagenidium giganteum.* In the laboratory the fungus successfully infected *Aedes notoscriptus* (Skuse), *Anopheles farauti* Laveran, *Culex annulirostris* Skuse, *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Frances, 1991), and *Aedes aegypti* (Frances et al., 1989; Frances, 1991). *Aedes Kochi* (Dönitz) was not susceptible (Frances, 1991). Despite its pathogenicity to several mosquito species no further studies have been published on this fungus.

Coelomomyces

The genus *Coelomomyces* consists of more than seventy species of obligatory parasitic aquatic fungi that undergo a complex life cycle involving alternating sexual (gametophytic) and asexual (sporophytic) generations (Couch and Bland 1985) The life cycle of *Coelomomyces* is complex and includes obligatory development in an intermediate microcrustacean host (cyclopoid copepods, harpacticoid copepods, or ostracods) and two mosquito generations for completion (Whisler et al., 1974, 1999; Lucarotti and Andreadis, 1995). The fungus survives unfavorable environmental conditions, such as cold or dry periods, as resting sporangia (RS) (Buchanan and Pillai 1990) that develop from diploid hyphae in infected mosquito larvae.

Verticillium lecanii

Verticillium lecanii is a very common fungal species, It was capable of infecting a wide range of insect hosts from board geographical and climatic locations. (Lazreg et al. 2007). Verticillium lecanii, or the white halo fungus, is a fungal species which belongs to the class Deuteromycetes, and the order Moniliales.

The *V.lecanii* species contains a complex of several fungal strains, which differ little in appearance but rather in their host range Verticillium lecanii is a commonly occurring fungus that can, among others, affect arthropods. The fungus has been observed on several kinds of insects, but particularly on aphids, scale insects and on whitefly. It has also been found as a saprophyte, which is an organism living or dead, organic material.

Verticillium lecanii also occurs as a hyperparasite on rusts and other plant pathogens. The fungus can easily be isolated from soil. The mode of action of V.lecanii is based on a direct contact between fungal spores and insects. After applying, the spores land on the target insects and germinate. Mobile insects, such as thrips, can also pick up the spores while moving within the crop. *V.lecanii*, under the right environmental conditions, kills the insects after 7-10 days. After spraying, the spores germinate and grow producing hyphae that penetrate into the body cavity where they proliferate destroying the tissues. The fungus then grows through the insect cuticle and under high humidity conditions spores are produced on the outside of the insect body which may spread the infection to other insects.

Paecilomyces

Paecilomyces fumosoroseus (Moniliales: Moniliaceae) has been isolated from most regions of the world and has been reported to infect several insects belonging to many different orders (Sterk *et al.,* 1996; Gokce and Kubilay, 2005).

P. fumosoroseus was reported to be one of the most common fungi attacking nymph and adult Bemisia in fields and glasshouses and T. vaporariorum in glasshouses (Lacey et al., 1996). Several isolates of the fungus were screened against whiteflies and successful isolates were tested in the field and glasshouses (Wraight et al., 2000). *Paecilomyces lilacinus* (Thom) Samson (Moniliales: Moniliaceae) is a typical soil-borne fungus that appears to be common in the tropics and subtropics (Saxena et al., 1991).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to the researchers who worked on Entomopathogenic fungi (EPF) in integrated pest management (IPM), without whom this review would not have been possible. We are also grateful to our colleagues who helped during the course of this study.

REFERENCES

Andersch, W. (1992). Production of fungi as crop protection agents. *Pflanzenschutz Nachrichten Bayer* **45** (1), 129-141.

Anderson, R.M. & May, R.M. 1982: Coevolution of hosts and parasites. Parasitology 85: 411-426.

Arthurs S, Thomas MB. 2001. Behavioural changes in *Schistocerca gregaria* following infection with a fungal pathogen: implications for susceptibility to predation. *Ecol. Entomol.* 26:227–34.

Baverstock J, Roy HE, Clark SJ, Pell J. 2004. Effect of fungal infection on the reproductive potential of aphids and their progeny. Presented at Soc. Invertebr. Pathol. 37th Annu. Meet., Helsinki, Finland

Bhattacharyya, A. Samal, A. C & Kar S. (2004). Entomophagous fungus in pest management *News Letter,* 2 *Vol.5:* pp *2-9.*

Bin ,W. and Mitsuaki, S (2006). Density dynamics of an entomopathogenic fungus,*Beauveria bassiana* introduced into fresh water. Bulletin of FFPRI), Vol.5, No.3 (No.400), 227-233.

Blanford S, Thomas MB. 2000. Thermal behaviour of two acridid species: effects of habitat and season on body temperature and the potential impact on biocontrol with pathogens. *Environ. Entomol.* 29:1060–69.

Blanford S, Thomas MB. 2001. Adultsurvival, maturation and reproduction of the desert locust *Schistocerca gregaria* infected with the fungus *Metarhizium anisopliae* var. *acridum. J. Invertebr.Pathol.* 78:1–8

Blanford, S., et al., 2005. Fungal pathogen reduces potential for malaria transmission. Science 308 (5728), 1638–1641. Scholte, E.J., et al., 2005. An entomopathogenic fungus for control of adult African malaria mosquitoes. Science 308 (5728), 1641–1642.

Boopathi T., Karuppuchamy P., Kalyanasundaram M., Mohankumar S., Ravi M., Singh S. B.. 2015. Microbial control of the exotic spiralling whitefly, Aleurodicus dispersus (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) on eggplant using entomopathogenic fungi. African J. of microbiology research.,9 (1), 39-46.

Butt, T.M., Ibrahim, L., Ball, B.V. & Clark, S.J. 1994: Pathogenicity of the entomogenous fungi *Metarhizium anisopliae* and *Beauveria bassiana* against crucifer pests and the honey bee. Biocontrol Science & Technology 4: 207-14.

Carruthers RI, Larkin TS, Firstencel H. 1992. Influence of thermal ecology on the mycosis of a rangeland grasshopper. *Ecology*73:190–204.

Charnleya, . K. (1984). Physiological aspects of destructive pathogenesis in insects by fungi ; a speculative review. In *Invertebrate-Microbial Interactions,* pp. 229-270. British Mycological Society Symposium 6. Edited by J. M. Anderson, A. D. M. Rayner & D. W. **H.** Walton, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

DeBach, P. (ed.) 1964: Biological control of insect pests and weeds. Chapman and Hall, London, 844 pp.

Dias B.A; P.M.O.J. Neves; L. Furlaneto-Maia; M.C. Furlaneto (2008). Cuticle-degrading proteases produced by the entomopathogenic fungus *beauveria bassiana* in the presence of coffee berry borer CUTICLE Brazilian Journal of Microbiology 39:301-306.

Dillon,R .J. & Charnleya,. K. 1991. The fate of fungal spores in the insect gut. In The Fungal and Disease Initiation in Plants and Animals ed. Cole, G.T. & Hoch, H.C. New York: Plenum Press.

Eilenberg J. 1987. Abnormal egg-laying behaviour of female carrot flies (*Psila rosae*) induced by the fungus *Entomophthora muscae*. *Entomol. Exp. Appl.*52:17–24.

Eilenberg, J. 2005: The concepts and vision for biological control. In: An Ecological and Societal Approach to Biological Control, eds. Eilenberg, J. and Hokkanen, H.T.M.Springer (in press).

Eilenberg, J., Hajek, A. & Lomer, C. 2001: Suggestions for unifying the terminology in biological control. BioControl 46: 387-400.

El-Husseini, M.M. (2006a). Microbial Control of Insect Pests: is it an effective and environmentally safe alternative? Arab J. Pl. Prot. Vol. 24, No. 2, pp. 162-169.

El-Husseini, M.M. 2006b. The 5th annual report of the Research Project: The use of entomopathogenic fungi for biological control of sugar beet insect pests in Egypt. Center of Biological Control, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University. 65 pp.

El-Husseini, M.M., S.S. Marie, A. Mesbah, A. El- Zoghby, S.S. Ali, N.A.M. Omar, E.A. Agamy, H.E. Abou Bakr, M.S. Nada, S. Tamer, H.M. Kamal and A.M. Ibrahim. 2004. Isolation, production and use of entomopathogenic fungi for controlling the sugar beet insect pests in Egypt (Project Report Summary).Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control, 14(1): 265-276.

Elliot SL, Blanford S, Thomas MB. 2002. Host-pathogen interaction in a varying environment, behavioural fever and fitness.*Proc. Biol. Sci.*269:1599–607.

Enkerli, J., Widmer, F. & Keller, S. 2004: Long-term field persistence of *Beauveria brongniartii* strains applied as biocontrol agents against European cockchafer larvae in Switzerland. Biological Control 29: 115-123.

Essien, J. P. 2004. Insecticidal Potential of an Orally Administered Metabolic Extract of *Aspergillus niger* on *Chrysomya chloropyga* (Green bottle fly) Larvae. Journal of applied science and environment 8: 45-48.

Flexner, J.L., B. Lighthart and B.A. Croft. 1986. The effects of microbial pesticides on non-target beneficial arthropods. Agriculture, Ecosystem and Environment, 16: 203-254.

France A, Gerding M, Sandoval A. 2002. Pathogenicity of Chilean isolates of *Beauveria bassiana* to adults of *Asynonychus cervinus* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *Agric. Tec.* 62:489–96.

Fuentes-Contreras E, Pell JK, Niemeyer HM. 1998. Influence of plant resistance at the third trophic level: interactions between parasitoids and entomopathogenic fungi of cereal aphids. *Oecologia* 117:426–32.

Funk CJ, Ramoska WA, Bechtel DB. 1993. Histopathology of *Entomophaga grylli* pathotype 2 infections in *Melanoplus differentialis*. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* 61:196–202.

Furlong MJ, Pell JK, Reddy GVP. 1997. Premortality effects of *Zoophthora radicans* infection in *Plutella xylostella*. *J.Invertebr. Pathol.* 70:214–20.

Furlong MJ, Pell JK. 1996. Interactions between the fungal entomopathogen *Zoophthora radicans* Brefeld (Entomophthorales) and two hymenopteran parasitoids attacking the diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella* L. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* 68:15–21.

Gabarty A., Salem H.M., Fouda M.A., Abas A.A., Ibrahim A.A. 2014. Pathogencity induced by the entomopathogenic fungi Beauveria bassiana and Metarhizium anisopliae in Agrotis ipsilon (Hufn.) Journal of Radiation Research and Applied Sciences. Volume 7, Issue 1, 95–100.

Glare TR, Milner RJ. 1991. Ecology of entomopathogenic fungi. In *Handbook of Applied Mycology: Humans, Animals and Insects*, ed. DK Arora, L Ajello, KG Mukerji, pp. 547–612. New York: Marcel Dekker.

GoKcE, A and M. Kubilay ER (2005). Pathogenicity of Paecilomyces spp. to the Glasshouse Whitefly, *Trialeurodes vaporariorum*, with Some Observations on the Fungal Infection Process Turk J Agric For 29 , pp 331-339.

Hackman, R. H. (1987). Chitin and the fine structure of cuticles. In: WRIGHT, J. E. & RETNAKARAN, A. (eds.): *Chitin and benzoylphenyl ureas*. Series Entomologica, Dr. W. Junk Publishers, Dordrecht, Netherlands, 1-309.

Hajek AE. 1989. Food consumption by *Lymantria dispar* (Lepidoptera: Lymantriidae) larvae infected with *Entomophaga maimaiga* (Zygomycetes: Entomophthorales). *Environ. Entomol.* 18: 723–27.

Hajek, A. 2004: Natural Enemies. An Introduction to Biological Control. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 378 pp.Hamdy M. E. 2015. Laboratory Evaluation of the Effect of the Entomopathogenic Fungi, Hirsutella thompsonii and Paecilomyces fumosoroseus, against the Citrus Brown Mite, Eutetranychus orientalis (Acari: Tetranychidae). Plant Protect. Sci. Vol. 51, No. 1: 39–45.

Hatting, J.L., Wraight, S.P. & Miller, R.M. 2004. Efficacy of Beauveria bassiana (Hyphomycetes) for control of Russian wheat aphid (Homoptera: Aphididae) on resistant wheat under field conditions. Biocontrol Sci. Technol., 14: 459-73.

Henke MO, De Hoog GS, Gross U, Zimmermann G, Kraemer D & Weig M (2002). Human deep tissue infection with an entomopathogenic Beauveria species. *Journal of clinical microbiology* **40**: 2698-2702.

Hibbett D.S., Binder M., Bischoff J.F., Blackwellm., Cannon P.F., Eriksson O.E., Huhndorf S., James T., Kirk p.M (2007) 2007: A higher-level hylogenetic classification of the Fungi. *Mycol. Res.* 111: 509–547.

Hokkanen, H. M. T. 2006: *Phradis morionellus* on *Meligethes aeneus*: Long-term patterns of parasitism and impact on pollen beetle population in Finland. IOBC-WPRS Bull. 29(7):187-191.

Hokkanen, H., Granlund, H., Husberg, G.-B. & Markkula, M. 1986: Trap crops used successfully to control *Meligethes aeneus* (Col., Nitidulidae), the rape blossom beetle. Annales Entomologici Fennici 52: 115-120.

Hokkanen, H., Husberg, G.-B. & Söderblom, M. 1988: Natural enemy conservation for the integrated control of the rape blossom beetle *Meligethes aeneus* F. Annales Agriculturae Fenniae 27: 281-294.

Hokkanen, H.M.T. & Lipa, J.J. 1995: Occurrence and dynamics of *Nosema meligethi* (Microsporida) in populations of *Meligethes aeneus* (Coleoptera, Nitidulidae) in Finland. *Entomologica Fennica* 6: 11-18.

Hokkanen, H.M.T. 1993: Overwintering survival and spring emergence in *Meligethes aeneus*: effects of body weight, crowding, and soil treatment with *Beauveria bassiana*. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata 67: 241-246.

Hokkanen, H.M.T. 2000: The making of a pest: recruitment of *Meligethes aeneus* onto oilseed brassicas. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata 95: 141-149.

Hokkanen, H.M.T. 2005: Impact of predators on pollen beetle *Meligethes aeneus* on rapeseed in Finland. IOBC/WPRS Bulletin 27: 295-298.

Hokkanen, H.M.T., Zec-Vojinovic, M., Büchs, W., Husberg, G.-B., Klukowski, Z., Luik, A., Menzler-Hokkanen, I., Nilsson, C., Ulber, B. & Williams, I. 2006: Effectiveness of entomopathogenic nematodes in the control of OSR pests. Proceedings of the MASTER Final Symposium, Göttingen (in prep.).

Horton, D.R., and J. Moore. 1993. Behavioral Effects of Parasites and Pathogens in Insect Hosts. In: Parasites and Pathogens of Insects (Ed. by N.E. Beckage, S.N. Thompson, and B.A. Federici), pp. 107-124. San Diego: Academic Press.

Hughes WO, Eilenberg J, Boomsma JJ. 2002. Trade-offs in group living: transmission and disease resistance in leafcutting ants. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* 269:1811–19.

Husberg, G.-B. & Hokkanen, H.M.T. 2001: Efects of *Metarhizium anisopliae* on the pollen beetle *Meligethes aeneus* and its parasitoids *Phradis morionellus* and *Diospilus capito*. BioControl 46: 261-273.

Ibrahim, L., Butt, T. M., Beckett, A. & Clark, S. J. (1999). The germination of oilformulated conidia of the insect pathogen *Metarhizium anisopliae*. *Mycological Research* 103 (7), 901-907.

Inglis GD, Johnson DL, Cheng K-J, Goettel MS. 1997. Use of pathogen combinations to overcome the constraints of temperature on entom-opathogenic hyphomycetes against grasshoppers. *Biol.Control* 8:143–52.

Inglis GD, Johnson DL, Goettel MS. 1996. Effects of temperature and thermoregulation on mycosis by *Beauveria bassiana* in grasshoppers. *Biol. Control* 7:131–39.

Jenkins, N. E. & Lomer, C. J. (1994). Development of a new procedure for the mass production of conidia of *Metarhizium flavoviride*. In: *IOBC wprs Bulletin,* Proceedings of the meeting of the working group 'Insect Pathogens and Insect Parasitic Nematodes', 5.-9. Sept. 1993, Zurich, Switzerland, 181-184.

Jenkins, N. E. & Prior, C. (1993). Growth and formation of true conidia by *Metarhizium flavoviride* in a simple liquid medium. *Mycological Research* 97 (12), 1489-1494.

Jensen MA, Losey JE, Hajek AE. 2001. Altered behavior and distribution of pea aphids, *Acyrthosiphon pisum* (Homoptera: Aphididae), infected with *Pandora neoaphidis* (Zygomycetes: Entomophthrorales). *Biol. Control* 46:337–43.

Jiang, S., James R. F. and Gregg, H. 2002. Sporulation of *Metarhizium anisopliae* and *Beauveria bassiana* on *Coptotermes formosanus* and in vitro. J. Invertebr Pathol. 81: 78-85.

Jiang, S., James R. F. and Gregg, H. 2003. Effects of virulence, sporulation, temperature on *Metarhizium anisopliae*and *Beauveria bassiana* laboratory transmission in *Coptotermes formosanus.* J. Invertebr Pathol. 84: 38-46.

Jonathan E. Ortega-Palomares, Hector G. Nuñez-Palenius, Ana M. Cruz-Avalos, Aarón A. Hernández-Rangel, Roberto Lezama-Gutiérrez, César A. Angel-Sahagún 2014. Occurrence of Entomopathogenic Fungus from Flea Ctenocephalides canis (Siphonaptera: Pulicidae). Open Journal of Veterinary Medicine, 4, No.12, 281-285.

Kalsbeek V, Mullens BA, Jespersen JB. 2001. Field studies of *Entom-ophthora* (Zygomycetes: Entomophthorales) induced behavioral fever in *Musca domestica* (Diptera: Muscidae) in Denmark. *Biol. Control* 21:264–73.

Kervin, J.L. 1992. Testing the effect of microorganisms on birds. Pages 729-744. In: Microbial ecology: Principles, Methods and Applications. M.A. Levin, R.J. Seidler and M. Rogul (eds.). McGrow-Hill, New York, USA

Kervin, J.L., D.A. Dritz and R.K. Wahino. 1990. Confirmation of the safety of *Lagenidium giganteum* (Oomycetes: Lagenidiales) to mammals. Journal of Economic Entomology, 83: 374-376.

Kisla T, Cu-Unjieng A, Sigler L & Sugar J (2000). Medical management of *Beauveria bassiana* keratitis. *Cornea.* 19: 405-6.

Kramm KR, West DF, Rockenbach PG. 1982. Termite pathogens: transfer of the entomopathogen *Metarhizium anisopliae* between *Reticulitermes* sp. termites. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* 40:1–6.

Lacey, L. A., Frutos, R., Kaya, H. K. & Vail, P. (2001). Insect pathogens as biological control agents: Do they have a future? *Biological Control* 21 (3), 230-248.

Lacey, L.A., J.J. Fransen and R. Carruthers. 1996. Global distribution of naturally occurring fungi of Bemisia, their biologies and use as biological control agents. In: Bemisia 1995:

Latge, J. P., Sampedro, L., Brey, P. & Diaquin, M. (1987). Aggressiveness of *Conidiobolus obscurus* against the pea aphid-influence of cuticular extracts on ballistospore germination of aggressive and non-aggressive strains. *Journal of General Microbiology* 133 (1), 1987-1997.

Lazreg Fatiha, Shaukat Ali, Shunxiang Ren and Muhammad Afzal 2007 Biological characteristics and pathogenicity of *Verticillium lecanii* against *bemisia tabaci* (homoptera: aleyrodidae) on eggplant *Pak. Entomol. Vol. 29, No.2,* 63-72.

Lipa, J.J. & Hokkanen, H.M.T. 1992: *Nosema meligethi* I. & R. (Microsporida) in populations of *Meligethes* spp. in Europe. Biocontrol Science and Technology 2: 119-125.

Liu, X.Z., and Li, S.D. (2004), 'Fungal Secondary Metabolites in Biological Control of Crop Pests', in Handbook of Industrial Mycology, ed. Z.Q. An, Yew York: Marcel Dekker Inc, pp. 723_747.

Lord JC. 2001. Response of the wasp *Cephalonomia tarsalis* (Hymenoptera: Bethylidae) to *Beauveria bassiana* (Hyphomycetes :Moniliales) as free conidia or infection in its host, the sawtoothed grain beetle, *Oryzaephilus surinamensis* (Coleoptera: Silvanidae). *Biol. Control*21:300–4.

McAllister MK, Roitberg BD, Weldon KL. 1990. Adaptive suicide in pea aphids: Decisions are cost sensitive. *Anim. Behav.*40:167–75.

McAllister MK, Roitberg BD. 1987. Adaptive suicidal behavior in pea aphids. *Nature* 328:797–99.

McCoy, C.W. and A.M. Heimpel. 1980. Safety of the potential mucoacaricide, *Hirsutella thompsonii*, to vertebrates. Environmental Entomology, 9: 47-49.

Menzler-Hokkanen, I. & Hokkanen, H.M.T. 2005: Developing entomopathogenic nematode delivery systems for biological control of oilseed rape pests. IOBC/wprs Bulletin 28(3):19-22.

Milner RJ, Holdom DG, Glare TR. 1984. Diurnal patterns of mortality in aphids infected by entomophthoran fungi. *Entomol. Exp. Appl.* 36:37–42.

Møller AP. 1993. A fungus infecting domestic flies manipulates sexual behaviour of its hosts. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.*33:403–7.

Moore D, Reed M, Le Patourel G, Abraham YJ, Prior C. 1992. Reduction of feeding by the desert locust, *Schistocerca gregaria*, after infection with *Metarhizium flavoviride*. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* 60:304–7.

Moore J. 2002. *Parasites and the Behaviour of Animals*. Oxford, UK: Oxford Univ. Press

Moret Y, Schmid-Hempel P. 2004. Social life-history response to individual immune challenge ofworkers of *Bombus terrestris* L.: a possible newcooperative phenomenon. *Ecol. Lett.* 7:146–52.

Murad, A M. ; Raul A. Laumann b, Thaina de A. Lima a, Rubia B.C. Sarmento a, Eliane F. Noronha a, Thales L. Rocha b, Maria C. Valadares-Inglis b, Octávio L. Franco (2006) Screening of entomopathogenic Metarhizium anisopliae isolates and proteomic analysis of secretion synthesized in response to cowpea weevil (*Callosobruchus maculatus*) exoskeleton Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology, Part C 142 , 365–370

Myles TG. 2002. Isolation of *Metarhizium anisopliae* (Deuteromycotina: Hyphomycetes) from *Reticulitermes flavipes* (Isoptera: Rhinotermitidae) with convenient methods for its culture and collection of conidia. *Sociobiology* 40:257–64.

Ohbayashi T, Iwabuchi K. 1991. Abnormal behavior of the common armyworm *Pseudaletia separata* (Walker) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) larvae infected with an entomogenous fungus, *Entomophaga aulicae*, and a nuclear polyhedrosis virus. *Appl. Entomol. Zool.* 26:479–585.

Oi DH, Pereira RM. 1993. Ant behaviour and microbial pathogens (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). *Fla. Entomol.* 76:63–74.

Olesen US. 1984. *Effect of humidity and temperature on* Entomophthora muscae *infecting the house fly,* Musca domestica*, and the increase of survival of the fly by behavioral fever*. MSc thesis. Univ.Copenhagen, Denmark.

Pell JK, Pluke R, Clark SJ, Kenward MG, Alderson PG. 1997. Interactions between two aphid natural enemies, the entomopathogenic fungus *Erynia neoaphidis* Remaudiere & Hennebert (Zygomycetes: Entomophthorales) and the predatory beetle *Coccinella septempunctata* L. (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). *J. Invertebr.Pathol.* 69:261–68.

Podgwaite, J.D. 1986. Effect of insect pathogens on the environment. Pages 279-187. International Symposiumof the AKademie der Wissenschaften und der Literature, Mains, November 15-17, 1984, at Mains & Darmstadt.,Fortschritte der Zoologie, (Vlium 32, pp. 341), Gustav Fisher Verlag, Stuttgart, Germany

Poulin R. 1992. Altered behavior in parasitized bumblebees: parasite manipulation or adaptive suicide. *Anim. Behav.* 44:174–76.

Poulin, R. 1995. "Adaptive" Changes in the Behavior of Parasitized Animals: A Critical Review. International Journal of Parasitology 25(12): 1371-1383.

Quesada-Moraga E. & Vey A. (2004). Bassiacridin, a protein toxic for locusts secreted by the entomopathogenic fungus Beauveria bassiana. *Mycological Research* 108: 441-452.

Reddy GVP, Furlong MJ, Pell JK, Poppy GM.1998. *Zoophthora radicans* infection inhibits the response to and production of sex pheromone in the diamondback moth. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* 72:167–69.

Robb, T., and M.L. Reid. 1996. Parasite-Induced Changes in the Behavior of Cestode-Infected Beetles: Adaptation or Simple Pathology? Canadian Journal of Zoology 74: 1268-1274.

Rosengaus RB, Jordan C, Lefebvre ML, Traniello JFA. 1999. Pathogen alarm behavior in a termite: a new form of communication in social insects. *Naturwissenschaften*86:544–48.

Roy H.E. and. Cottrell T.E (2008) Forgotten natural enemies: Interactions between coccinellidsand insect-parasitic fungi *Eur. J. Entomol.* 105: 391–398.

Roy HE, Pell JK, Alderson PG. 1999. Effects of fungal infection on the alarm response of pea aphids. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.*74:69–75.

Roy HE, Pell JK, Alderson PG. 2002. Effect of *Erynia neoaphidis* infection and coccinellid foraging on the spatial distribution of aphids on plants. *J. Invertebr.Pathol.* 81:127–29.

Roy HE, Pell JK, Clark SJ, Alderson PG. 1998. Implications of predator foraging on aphid pathogen dynamics. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* 71:236–47.

Saxena, G., N. Mittal, K.G. Mukerji and D.K. Arora. 1991. Nematophagous fungi in the biological control of nematodes. In: Handbook of Applied Mycology (Eds.: D.K. Arora, L. Ajello and K.G. Mukerji). Marcel Dekker Inc, New York. pp 707-733.

Samuels RI, Reynolds SE, Charnley AK. 1988. Calcium channel activation of insect muscle by destruxins, insecticidal compounds produced by entomopathogenic fungus *Metarhizium anisopliae*. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol.* 90:403– 12.

Shimazu, M. (2004a) Effects of temperature on growth of *Beauveria bassiana* F-263, a strain highly virulent to the Japanese pine sawyer, *Monochamus alternatus*, especially its tolerance to high temperatures, Appl. Entomol. Zool., 39, 469-475.

Shimazu, M. (2004b) A novel technique to inoculate conidia of entomopathogenic fungi and its application for investigation of susceptibility of the Japanese pine sawyer, *Monochamus alternatus*, to *Beauveria bassiana*, Appl. Entomol. Zool., 39, 485-490.

Shimazu, M. and Sato, H. (2003) Effects of larval age on mortality of *Monochamus alternatus* Hope (Coleoptera: Cerambycidae) after application of nonwoven fabric strips with *Beauveria bassiana*, Appl. Entomol. Zool., 38, 1-5.

Shimazu, M., Maehara, N. and Sato, H. (2002b) Density dynamics of the entomopathogenic fungus, *Beauveria bassiana* Vuillemin (Deuteromycotina: Hyphomycetes) introduced into forest soil, and its influence on the other soil microorganisms, Appl. Entomol. Zool., **37**, 263-269.

Shimazu, M., Sato, H. and Maehara, N. (2002a) Density of the entomopathogenic fungus, *Beauveria bassiana* Vuillemin (Deuteromycotina: Hyphomycetes) in forest air and soil, Appl. Entomol. Zool., 37, 19-26.

Shimazu, M., Tsuchiya, D., Sato, H. and Kushida, T. (1995) Microbial control of *Monochamus alternatus* Hope (Coleoptera: Cerambycidae) by application of nonwoven fabric strips with *Beauveria bassiana* (Deutyeromycotina: Hyphomycetes) on infested tree trunks, Appl. Entomol. Zool., 30, 207-213.

Siebeneicher SR, Vinson SB, Kenerley CM. 1992. Infection of the red imported fire ant by *Beauveria bassiana* through various routes of exposure. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* 59:280–85.

Staples JA, Milner RJ. 2000. A laboratory evaluation of the repellency of *Metarhizium anisopliae* conidia to *Coptotermes lacteus* (Isoptera: Rhinotermitidae). *Sociobiology* 36:133–48.

StLeger, R.J. (1995). The role of cuticle-degrading proteases in fungal pathogenesis of insects. *Can. J. Bot.*, 73, S1119-S1125 (Suppl. 1).

StLeger, R.J., Charnleya, K. & Cooperr, M. (1986). Cu ticle degrading enzymes of entomopathogenic fungi ; mechanisms of interaction between pathogen enzymes and insect cuticle. *Journal o j Invertebrate Pathology* 47, 295-302.

StLeger, R.J.; Joshi, L.; Bidochka, M.J.; Rizzo, N.W.; Roberts, D.W.(1996). Biochemical characterization and ultrastructural localization of two extracellular trypsins produced by *Metarhizium anisopliae* ininfected insect cuticles. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 62, 1257-1264.

StLeger, R.J.; Durrands, P.K.; Charnley, A.K.; Cooper, R.M. (1988). Role of extracellular chymoelastase in the virulence of *Metarhizium anisopliae* for *Manduca sexta*. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.*, 52, 285-293.

Sterk, G., K. Bolckmans and J. Eyal. 1996. A new microbial insecticide, *Paecilomyces fumosoroseus* strain Apopka 97, for the control of the greenhouse whitefly. In: Proceedings of Brighton Crop Protection Conference: Pests and Disease. 18-21 November 1996, Brighton, UK., pp. 461-466.

Tajick Ghanbary, A. ; Asgharzadeh, A.R. Hadizadeh and M. Mohammadi Sharif (2009). A Quick Method for *Metarhizium anisopliae* Isolation from Cultural Soils. American Journal of Agricultural and Biological Sciences 4 (2): 152-155.

Tefera T, Pringle KL. 2003. Food consumption by *Chilo partellus* (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) larvae infected with *Beauveria bassiana* and *Metarhizium anisopliae* and effects of feeding natural versus artificial diets on mortality and mycosis. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* 84:220–25.

Thiele, H.-U. 1977: Carabid beetles in their environments. Zoophysiol. Ecol. 10: 1-369.

Thomas, M.B. & Blanford, S. (2003). Thermal biology in insect-pathogen interactions.Trends Ecol. Evol. 18, 344-350.

Tucker D, Beresford C, Sigler L & Rogers K (2004). Disseminated *Beauveria bassiana* infection in a patient with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Journal of clinical microbiology.* 42: 5412-4.

van Driesche, R.G., Bellows, T.S., Elkinton, J.S., Gould, J.R. & Ferro, D.N. 1991: The meaning of percentage parasitism revisited: solutions to the problem of accurately estimating total losses from parasitism. Environ. Entomol. 20: 1-7.

Vänninen, I., Tyni-Juslin, J. & Hokkanen, H. 2000: Persistence of augmented *Metarhizium anisopliae* and *Beauveria bassiana* in Finnish agricultural soils. BioControl 45: 201-222.

Varis, A.-L., Holopainen, J.K. & Koponen, M. 1984: Abundance and seasonal occurrence of adult Carabidae (Coleoptera) in cabage, sugar beet and timothy fields in southern Finland. Z. ang. Ent. 98: 62-73.

Vey A, Hoagland RE & Butt TM (2001). Toxic Metabolites of Fungal Biocontrol Agents. *Fungi as Biocontrol Agents. Progress, Problems and Potential* (Butt TM, Jackson C & Magan N, eds), pp. 311-346. CABI Publishing, Oxford, UK.

Watson DW, Petersen JJ. 1993. Sexual activity of male *Musca domestica* (Diptera: Muscidae) infected with *Entomophthora muscae* Zurek L, Watson DW, Krasnoff SB, Schal C. 2002 (Entomophthorales: Entomophthoraceae).*Biol. Control* 3:22–26.

Williams, I.H., Büchs, W., Hokkanen, H., Menzler-Hokkanen, I., Johnen, A., Klukowski, Z., Luik, A., Nilsson, C. & Ulber, B. 2002: MASTER: Management Strategies for European Rape

Pests - a new EU Project. The BCPC Conference, Pests & Diseases, Brighton, 18-21 November 2002, p. 641-646.

Wraight, S.P., R.I. Carruthers, S.T. Jaronski, C.A. Bradley, C.J. Garza and S. Galani-Wraight. 2000. Evaluation of the entomopathogenic fungi Beauveria bassiana and Paecilomyces fumosoroseus for microbial control of the silverleaf whitefly, Bemisia argentifolii. Biol. Control 17: 203-217.

Zec-Vojinovic, M., Hokkanen, H.M.T., Büchs, W., Klukowski, Z., Luik, A., Nilsson, C., Ulber, B. & Williams, I. 2006: Natural occurrence of pathogens of oilseed rape pests in agricultural fields in Europe. Proceedings of the MASTER Final Symposium, Göttingen (in prep.).

Zibaee, A. and Bandani, A. (2009). Effect of five different type pesticides on the sunn pest, *Eurygaster integriceps*. Munis Entomology & Zoology 4 (2): 542-550.

Zurek, L., Watson, D.W., Krasnoff. S.B. and Schal, C. (2002). Effect of the entomopathogenic fungus, *Entomophthora muscae* (Zygomycetes: Ento-mophthoraceae), on sex pheromone and other cuticular hydrocarbons of the house fly, *Musca domestica*. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* 80: 171–76.

Corresponding author: Dr. (Lt. Col.) Saira Bano, Professor, Department of Physiology, Bharti Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be University) Medical College, Pune – 411043, Maharashtra, India
Email: saira27raja@gmail.com